# Annual Report of the
# Nadu Public Service Commission

FOR THE YEAR 1982-83

©
GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU
1985

TED BY THE DIRECTOR OF STATIONERY AND
PRINTING, MADRAS, ON BEHALF OF THE
GOVERNMENT OF TAMILNADU.

# TAMIL NADU PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION.

## ANNUAL REPORT OF THE COMMISSION FOR THE YEAR 1982-83.

### (SECTION—I PERSONNEL).

Major General S. P. Mahadevan, A.V.S.M. (Retd.) continued as Chairman of the Commission.

Thiru M. Thirumalaiswamy, I.A.S. (Retd.)

Thiru M. Periasamy, I.A.S. (Retd.)

Dr. (Tmt.) Ambiga Shanmugam

Thiru C. G. Thirumal, I.A.S. (Retd.)

Thiru S. Thirumalaiappan, I.A.S. (Retd.) and

Thiru M. Abdul Samath continued as Member of the Commission.

Thiru N. Ramaswami, I.A.S., Continued as Secretary to the Commission.

Thiru Jor Singh Syiem, I.A.S., continued as Controller of Examinations up to 17—4—1982.

Thiru N. Ramaswami, I.A.S., Secretary to the Commission held full additional charge of the post of Controller of examinations from 18—4—1982 to 9—5—1982.

Thiru Syed Munir Hoda, I.A.S., assumed charge as Controller of Examinations on 10—5—1982 and continued to be the Controller of Examinations.

### SECTION II—RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURE.

#### I. RECEIPTS.

*EXAMINATIONS FEES* :

| | | Rs. | Ps. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Recruitments and other Examinations | 46,40,485 | 10 |
| 2. | Special and Departmental Tests | 6,77,480 | 00 |
| 3. | Cost of Application forms | 1,58,102 | 00 |
| | Total .. | 54,76,067 | 10 |

## II EXPENDITURE.

| | Rs. | P. |
|---|---|---|
| 01. *SALARIES :* | | |
| 1. Pay | 43,77,857 | 78 |
| 2. Dearness Allowances | 17,01,888 | 65 |
| 3. Medical Charges | 1,39,212 | 45 |
| 4. Other Allowances | 9,28,467 | 50 |
| 5. Additional Dearness Allowance Deposit Accout | 27,007 | 00 |
| 7. Leave Travel Concession | 4.396 | 50 |
| 02 Wages | 12,301 | 30 |
| 03 Travel Expenses | 56,964 | 40 |
| 04 *OFFICE EXPENSES*: | | |
| 1. Telephone Charges | 58,664 | 35 |
| 2. Other Contingencies | 13.33,144 | 48 |
| 05 Payment for professional and Special Services. | 11,99,164 | 61 |
| 06 Rent, Rates and Taxes | 14,440 | 80 |
| 08 Advertising, Sales and Publicity expenses. | 5,90,113 | 30 |
| 06 *MOTOR VEHICLES*: | | |
| 2. Maintenance | 10,753 | 00 |
| 3. Cost of Fuel | 50,446 | 41 |
| 26 Other charges | 67 | 50 |
| Grand total | 1,05,04,890 | 03 |

## III REFUNDS.

During the period under report a sum of Rs. 1,380 has been paid towards the refund of examination fees.

## SECTION III—VOLUME OF CORRESPONDENCE.

The Commission dealt with 6,80,177 references as against, 4,00,659 references during the preceding year, 1981-82.

The Commission, apart from informal mettings held once in a week on Wednesdays, sat for 118 days (In review) as against 287 days during the preceding year. The Commission constituted three Boards on 69 days, two Boards on 32 days and one Board on 17 days. Out of said 118 days, the commission held two sessions, one in the forenoon and another in the afternoon, on 118 days.

## SECTION IV—WRITTEN EXAMINATION.

### (i) Special Tests.

The Commission conducted special Tests twice in the year in May 1982 and November 1982 along with the Departmental Tests at 20 centres. There were 19,595 candidates for the May 1982 examination and 21,525 candidates for the November 1982 examination as against 19,146 and 22,714 candidates respectively for the corresponding examinations in the preceding year. An analysis of the results of the tests is given in Appendix A.

### (ii) Departmental Tests.

The Commission conducted the Departmental Tests examinations in May and November 1982. The Number of applications received for the said examinations were 11,530 and 13,520 respectively. An analysis of the results of the tests is given in appendix A1.

### (iii) Half yearly Examinations and Language Tests for Assistant Collectors, Police Officers, Forest Officers, etc.

The Commission held these examinations in September 1982 and March 1983. The tests for which the examinations were held, the number of candidates for each of these tests and an analysis of the results are given in appendix A2.

### (iv) Proficiency test in Tamil for I.A.S., I.P.S. and I.F.S. Officers borne on Tamil Nadu State Cadre whose mother tongue is not Tamil.

The Commission conducted this examination (which Comprises both written and oral) in July 1982. Five candidates applied for the said examination but no candidate actually appeared for it.

### (v) Qualifying examination for admission to the Rashtriya Indian Military College, Dehra Dun.

The Commission conducted this Examination on behalf of the Government of India, Ministry of Defence in January 1983 for selection of Candidates for admission to the Rashtriya Indian Military

College, Dehra Dun. Twenty Nine candidates appeared for the examination, but no candidate was selected. No examination was conducted in August 1982.

(vi) Shortlisting Examinations.

The Commission also conducted shortlisting examinations during the period under report to restrict the number of candidates to be summoned for the oral test for direct recuitment to the following posts:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Assistant Statistical Investigator (TNGSS) | .. | July 1982 |
| Dietician (TNMS) | .. | October 1982 |
| Statistical Inspector (TNGSS) | .. | October 1982 |
| Laboratory Assistant (TNFSS) | .. | September 1982 |
| Statistician (TNMSS) | .. | September 1982 |

## SECTION V.

## DIRECT RECRUITMENT TO STATE AND SUBORDINATE SERVICES.

(A) Direct recruitment by competitive examination comprising an oral Test only in shape of an Interview.

*Recruitment to State Service.*

During the year under report, the Commission selected 925 candidates by competitive examination comprising an oral test in the shape of an interview for appointment by direct recruitment, to 17 classess of posts in the State Services. The posts for which the selections were made are given in appendix 'C' together with the particulars regarding the number of candidates applied, the number qualified and examined, the number selected and the qualification of the selected candidates.

(ii) *Recruitment to Subordinate Services.*

During the year under report, the Commission selected 599 candidates by competitive examination comprising an oral test in the phape of an interview for application by direct recruitment to 19 classes of posts in the Subordinate Services. The posts for which relection were made are given in appendix (C1) together with the harticulars regarding the number of candidates who applied, the number qualified, the number examined, the number selected and the qualifications of the candidates selected. The total number of applications received and the number of applications examined were 7,156 and 2,754 respectively as against 1,882 and 903 in the previous year.

## (B) Direct Recruitment on the results of a combined competitive examination comprising a written test as well as an oral test.

### (a) *State Services.*

#### Group I—Services.

The written examination of the Master's degree standard was held at seven centres (Madras, Madurai, Coimbatore, Tiruchirappalli, Salem, Cuddalore and Tirunelveli) for direct recruitment to the Tamil Nadu Commercial Taxes Service (Commercial Tax Officer), Tamil Nadu Police Service (Deputy Superintendent of Police) and Tamil Nadu Co-operative Service (Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies). The number of applicants and the number of candidates admitted to the written test were 2,191 and 1,760 respectively. Of the 1,760 candidates admitted to the written test, 40 candidates were admitted to the oral test. The particulars relating to the recruitment are given in Appendix (C2).

#### Group I-A—Services

The written examination was held in Madras for direct recruitment to the post of Assistant Conservator of Forests in the Tamil Nadu Forest Service. The number of applicants and the number of candidate admitted to the written test were 418 and 307 respectively. Of the 307 candidates admitted to the written test, 21 candidates were admitted to the oral test. The particulars relating to the recruitment are given in Appendix (C3).

### (B) *Subordinate Services.*

#### Group II—Services.

The Commission conducted the written competitive examination at 16 centres for recruitment to the posts included in Group II Services from 20th May 1982 to 10th June 1982, 6,256 candidates appeared for the said competitive examination. The oral Test was conducted from 17—2—83 to 7—3—83, 1,067 candidates were admiited to the oral test.

#### Gruop III— Services

The written examination relating to Group III Services was held from 19—4—1982 to 29—4—1982. 3,058 candidates appeared for the said competitive examination. The oral test was conducted during December 1982 to February 1983. 382 candidates were admitted to the oral test.

### Group IV—Services.

The total number of candidates who applied to the Group IV Services Examination was 293,154. The examination held on 13th February 1983 was cancelled and fresh examination has to be held.

### Group V—Services, 1982.

The written competitive examination for appointment to the post of (i) Assistant in the office of the Director and Ex-officio Joint Commissioner of Land Reforms and (2) Assistants in the Office of the Commissioner of Civil Supplies in the Tamil Nadu Ministerial Services included in Group V Services was held from 22—3—1982 to 17—4—1982 at the following centres viz. (1) Coimbatore, (2) Cuddalore, (3) Dharmapuri, (4) Erode, (5) Kanchcepuram, (6) Madras, (7) Madurai, (8) Nagercoil, (9) Palayamcottai (10) Pudukkottai, (11) Ramanathapuram, (12) Salem, (13) Thanjavur, (14) Tiruchirappalli, (15) Udagamandalam and (16) Vellore.

(1) 950 candidates applied to the Commission for appointment to the post, 901 of those candidates were admitted to the written test. 694 candidates appeared for the written test and 2 candidates were selected for the post of Assistants in the Office of the Director and Ex-Officio Joint Commissioner of Land Reforms in the Tamil Nadu Ministerial Services.

(2) 1,868 candidates applied to the Commission for appointment to the posts, of whom 1,552 candidates were admitted to the written test. 879 candidates appeared for the written test and 10 candidates were selected for the post of Assistants in the Office of the Commissioner of Civil Supplies in the Tamil Nadu Ministerial Services.

### Group VI—Services, 1982.

The written competitive examination for appointment to the post of Forest Apprentice in the Tamil Nadu Forest Subordinate Service included in Group VI Services was held in June 1982 at Madras. 1,745 candidates applied to the Commission for appointment to the post 1 349 of those candidates were admitted to the written test. 806 candidates appeared for the written examination. 160 candidates were admitted to the oral test and 29 candidates were selected.

### Group VII—Services, 1982-83.

The Commission invited applications for the posts of Executive Officers, Grades II and III included in Group VII Services. Out of 451 candidates who applied to the Commission for the said posts, 398 candidates were admitted to the written test.

### Group VIII Services—1982-83.

During the yeat, the Commission invited applications for the post of Executive Officer, Grade IV, included in Group VIII Services. Out of 2,961 candidates who applied to the Commission for the said posts, 2,667 candidatcs were admitted to the written test.

### Group X Services—1981-82.

The written competitive examination for appointment to the post of Store-keeper in the Department of Employment and Training in the Tamil Nadu Ministerial Service included in Group X Services was held in March 1982 at the following centres—Coimbatore, Madras and Madurai.

400 candidates applied to the Commission for appointment to the posts. 369 of those candidates were admitted to the written test. 237 candidates appeared for the written test and 3 candidates were selected.

## Section—VI

### REPORTS FROM EXAMINERS.

Extrac s from the Reports of the examiners on the performance of the candidates are given in Appendix (B). The position revealed by the reports of examiners is summarised as follows:—

### (a) Group I-A Sevices, 1982-83.

### SUMMARY OF EXAMINERS REPORTS.

I. Tho performance of the candidates in the following subjects was very poor:

1. Chemical Engineering
2. Essay in English.

II. The performance of the candidates in the following subject was poor:

1. Statis ics.

III. The performance of the candidates in the following subjects was not satisfactory:

1. Mathematics (Degree Standard).

IV. The performance of the candidates in the following subjects was satisfactory:

1. Zoology
2. Geology.

V. The performance of the candidates in the following subject was not up to the standard:

Physics.

VI. The performance of the candidates in the following subject was up to the standard:

Botany.

VII. The performance of the candidates in the following subject was extremely bad:

Chemistry.

VIII. The performance of the candidates in the following subject was bad:

Mechanical Engineering.

IX. The performance of the candidates in the following subject is just above average :

General Knowledge.

X. The performance of the candidates in the following subjects was good:

1. Mathematics (Matriculation standard)
2. Agriculture.

(b) Group—II—Services (Bachelor's Degree Standard) Examination.

*SUMMARY.*

The performance of the candidates in the following subject was Satisfactory:

English Language & Literature.

Tamil - Language & Literature.

Tamil Nadu & Culture.

Sanskrit Ancient Indian History and Culture.

Indian History.

Politics.

Economics.

Statistics.

Antheropology.

Geology.

Community Development.

Home Science.

Co-operation.

Accounts and Audit.

History of Civilisation.

Business Organisation and Administration.

II. The performance of the candidates in the following subject was not satisfactory :

General English.

General Knowledge.

English History of English Literature.

English Social History of England.

Arabic Language and Literature.

Arabic History of Arabic Literature.

Tamil History of South India.

History of Tamil Culture.

Telugu Language and Literature and History of Andhra Desa and Andhra Culture.

Malayalam Language and Literature.

Malayalam History of Malayalam Literature.

Hindi Language and Literature.

Hindi History of Hindi Literature.

Hindi Indian History and Culture.

History of Europe.

Constitutional History of England.

Maths (Pure)

Maths (Applied)

Physics.

Chemistry.
Botany.
Zoology.
Indian Penal Code.
Indian Constitutional Law
Social Science
Agricultural Chemistry
Banking And Mercantile Law
Biology

(C) Group III Services Examinations:

1. The performance of the candidates in the following subjects was good—

1. General Science.
2. Social Studies.
3. Elements of Economics.
4. Household Arts.
5. Elements of Commerce.

2. The performance of the candidates in the following subjects was not satisfactory:

1. English Composition.
2. Mathematics
3. Natural Science.
4. Indian History.
5. Elements of Politics.
6. World History.
7. Geography.

3. The performance of the candidates in the following subjects was satisfactory:

1. Logic.
2. Psychology.

4. The performance of the candidates in the following subjects was poor:

1. Physics.
2. Chemistry.

5. The performance of the candidates in the following subjects was average :—

1. General knowledge.
2. Language Translation and Composition.
3. Sociology.

(d) Examinations—Group VI Services—Forest Apprentice in the Tamil Nadu Forest Subordinate Service 1982.

*SUMMARY.*

The performance of the candidates in English was poor. In the case of General Knowledge I, General Knowledge II, Mathematics-paper I, Mathematics-Paper II, Mathematics (Optional), Botany, Zoology and Geology the performance of the candidates was generally satisfactory. In the case of Physics and Chemistry, the performance was not up to the standard.

(e) RECRUITMENT (Direct)SUBORDINATE SERVICE—GROUP VIII SERVICES (BACHELORS DEGREE STANDARD) 1982-83.

I. The performance of the candidates in the following subject was satisfactory.

General Knowledge.

II. The performance of the candidates in the following subject was poor.

English Composition.

III. The performance of the candidates in the following subject was not satisfactory.

இந்துமத இணைப்பு விளக்கம்.

(Treatises on Saivam and Vaishnavam).

(f) Recruitment (Direct) Subordinate Services—Gruup VIII Services (S.S.L.C. Std.) 1982–83.

The performance of the candidates in the following subjects was satisfactory.

1. English Composition.
2. General Knowledge.

The performance of the candidates in the following subject was very poor.

Treatises on (இந்துமத இணைப்பு விளக்கம்.)

Saivam and Vaishnavam.

(g) Summary of the reports on the performance of the candidates for the short listing examination held for recruitment to the post of Assistant Statistical Investigator.

General Knowledge.

Of the 15 examiners in this subject, 12 examiners have reported that the overall performance of the candidates at the examination was average/satisfactory. The remaining three examiners observed it to be very poor. However, many examiners have appreciated the standard of the question paper. One examiner commented that the candidates who secured more in Non Science section, was poor in science section and *vice versa*. As observed by another examiner, many candidates fumbled in the questions leading to chronological events.

MATHEMATICS.

Almost all the examiners reported that the overall performance of the candidares was very poor, eventhough the questions set were of the required standard. One examiner commented that most of the questions had appeared several times in Several South Indian Universities and that failure could be attributed only to the shaky basis of the candidates.

STATISTICS

In general, the performance was not satisfactory.

ECONOMICS.

Many examiners was not satisfied with the performance the candidates The questions set were direct and simple; yet many candidates had not given answer to the exputation. One examiner stated that the answers in vernacular were unpalatable and that their performance provided a good testimony of the degradation of the standard of our universities. Another examiner observed that the candidates who answered in English medium had done better than those who did in Tamil medium. On the whole the performance was not satisfiactory.

The overall, performance of the candidates in the subject 'General Knowledge' was average and in the subject Mathematics it was very poor.

The performance of the candidates in the subjects 'Statistics' and 'Economics' was not satisfactory.

(h) Summary of the reports on the performance of the candidate for recruitment to the post of Dictician held in 1982. General Knowledge: The general performance in total was satisfactory.
Dictitics: The performance was below average.

Special Test Examinations– May 1982.

SUMMARY.

The performance of the candidates in most of the tests was generally satisfactory. Mere verbatim reproduction from books, lack of adequate preparation and originality were some of the defects noticed in General by the examiners.

Special Tests Examinations—November 1982.

SUMMARY.

The performance of the candidates in most of the tests was generally satisfactory, mere verbatim reproduction from books, lack of adequate Preparation and originality and failure to note question numbers and sub-division numbers were some of the common defects noticed by examiners.

(j) DEPARTMENTAL TEST- MAY 1982.

SUMMARY.

Though the percentage of passed candidates was above average, performance wise, lack of preparation, failure to quote the correct rule of order, indifferent approach, etc., were common feature noticed in the tests.

DEPARTMENTAL TEST—NOVEMBER 1982.

SUMMARY.

Though the results in the tests, by and larged, show fairly good performanc of the applicants in general, lack of preparation, verbatim reproduction from books without understanding the questions and the casual approach were noticed on the part of many of the applicants.

Section VII.

STATUTORY RULES AND REGULATIONS, ETC.,

1. Promulgation and Amendments:

1. States Services.

During the period Under report there were 161 cases of issue of statutory rules or amendments to them by the Government. In respect of 152 of them, the Commission was consulted before the rules were issued. Of the remaining 9 cases, 7 were of a routine nature. Excluding such routine cases, there were 2 cases in which the Commission was not consulted. However, those cases related either to posts excluded from the pur view of the Commission or to matters in respect of which under aritcle 320 (3) of the Constitution of India, consultation with the Commission was not necessary.

2. Subordinate Service.

There were 110 cases of issue of statutory rules or amendments to them by the Government. In respect of 89 of them, the Commission was consulted before the rules were issued. There were 21 cases in which the Commission was not consulted. However those cases related to either to posts excluded from the purview of the Commission or to matters in respect of which under Article 320 (3) of the Constitution of India, It was not necessary for the Commission to be consulted.

(ii) Relaxation:

(1) State Services.

During the period there were 30 cases in which statutory rules were relaxed by the Government. Orders of relaxation were issued in 5 cases in respect of pension matters, 12 cases in respect of the Fundamental Rules, Tamil Nadu Special Pay and Allowances Rules and the Tamil Nadu Travelling allowance Rules and 3 cases in respect of the provision of the Contributory Provident Fund (Madras) Rules.

(2) Subordinate Services.

There were 14 cases in which statutory rules were relaxed by the Government.

(iii) Temporary Appointments:

(1) State Services.

The Commission accorded its concurrence for the continuance beyond a total period of 3 months of the temporary appointments of 458 Officers, for the continuance beyond a total period of one year of the temporary appointments of 235 officers, for the continuance beyond a total period of 18 months of the temporary appointments of 195 officers and for the continuance beyond a total period 24 months and above of the temporary appointments of 386 officers in the State Services.

(2) Subordinate Services.

During the period Under report there were 1312 cases in which the Commission ratified the continuance beyond 3 months of the temporary appointments relating to posts in subordinate Services made by the appointing authorities of the various departments.

In 375 cases, the Commission ratified the continuance of the temporary appointments relating to Subordinate Services beyond a total period of 1 year with refference to Regulations 17(2) of the Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission Regulations, 1954.

There were 127 cases and 39 cases in which the Commission ratified the continuance of the temporary appointments beyond 18 months and 24 months respectively. In 19 cases, the Commission ratified the continuance of the temporary appointments beyond 36 months and for further periods.

## (iv) APPOINTMENTS MADE UNDER REGULATION 16 (*b*) OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, REGULATION—1954.

(1) State Services.

In the following cases, the Commission's concurrence was sought for and given under the letter part of Regulation 16 (b) of the Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission Regulations, 1954, for making appointments to the posts in the State and Subordinate Services without the ordinary statutory reference to the Commission as required under Article 320 (3) of the Constitution of India:—

| Sl. No. | Name of Department. | Name of the post. | Number of cases. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Medical Department | Assistant Surgeon | 4 |

In the following cases, the Commission's concurrence was sought for, But was refused.

| Sl. No. | Name of Department. | Name of the Post. | Number of cases. |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Collegiate Education | Assistant Professor | 1 |
| 2. | School Education | Head-Master | 1 |

(2) Subordinate Services.

In the following cases, the Commission's Concurrence was sought for and given under the latter part of Regulation 16 (b) of the Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission Regulations, 1954 for making appointments to the posts in the Subordinate Services without the ordinary statutory references to the Commission.

| *Sl.* No. | *Name of department.* | *Name of post.* | *No. of cases.* |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Tamil Nadu Educational | 1. School Assistant | 23 |
| 2. | Subordinate Service | 2. Tamil Pandits, Grade I | 3 |
| | Tamil Nadu Ministerial Service. | Junior Assistant in the School Education Department. | 2 |

In the following cases, the Commission's Concurrence sought for was not accorded :—

| *Sl. No.* | *Name of department.* | *Name of post.* | *No. of cases.* |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Tamil Nadu Education Subordinate Service. | School Assistant | 3 |
| | | Tamil Pandit, Grade -I. | 5 |

## (3) Tamil Nadu Ministerial Service :—

In the following cases the Commission's concurrence was sought for and given on compasionate grounds under the letter part of Regulation 16(*b*) of the Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission Regulations, 1954, for making appointments to the posts without the ordinary statutory reference to the Commission :—

| *Sl. No.* | *Name of department.* | *Name of post.* | | | | *No. af cases.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | *J.A.* | *Typist* | *Asst.* | *Cashier* | |
| 1. | Police | 36 | .. | .. | .. | 36 |
| 2. | School Education | 54 | 1 | .. | .. | 55 |
| 3. | Collegiate Education | 3 | .. | .. | .. | 3 |
| 4. | Agriculture | 15 | 1 | .. | .. | 16 |
| 5. | Commercial Taxes | 9 | 1 | .. | .. | 10 |
| 6. | Public Health and Preventive Medicine | 4 | .. | .. | .. | 4 |
| 7. | Transport | 3 | .. | .. | .. | 3 |
| 8. | Social Welfare | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| 9. | Registration | 13 | .. | .. | .. | 13 |
| 10. | Highways and Rural Works | 19 | .. | .. | .. | 19 |
| 11. | Health Services & Family Welfare | 10 | .. | .. | .. | 10 |
| 12. | Revenue | 27 | 1 | .. | .. | 28 |
| 13. | Milk production and Dairy Development | 2 | .. | .. | .. | 2 |
| 14. | Tamil Nadu Raffle | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| 15. | Survey and Land Records | 5 | .. | .. | .. | 5 |
| 16. | Handloom and Textiles | 2 | .. | .. | .. | 2 |
| 17. | Treasuries and Accounts | 4 | .. | .. | 1 | 5 |
| 18. | Public Works Department | 8 | .. | .. | .. | 8 |
| 19. | Panchayat Development | 36 | .. | 1 | 1 | 38 |
| 20. | Tamil Nadu Judicial Ministerial Service | 3 | .. | .. | .. | 3 |
| 21. | T.N.S.S. | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| 22. | Local Fund Audit Department | 6 | .. | .. | .. | 6 |
| 23. | Labour | 3 | .. | .. | . | 3 |
| 24. | N.C.C. | 4 | .. | .. | .. | 4 |
| 25. | H.R. & C.E. | 3 | .. | .. | .. | 3 |
| 26. | Co-operatioa | 14 | 1 | .. | . | 15 |
| 27. | Forest | 10 | .. | .. | .. | 10 |
| 28. | Approved Schools | 8 | .. | .. | .. | 8 |
| 29. | Industries & Commerce | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| 30. | Statististics | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| 31. | Horticulture | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| 32. | Employment & Training | 2 | .. | .. | .. | 2 |
| 33. | Animal Husbandry | 4 | 1 | .. | .. | 5 |
| 34. | Fire Service | 3 | .. | . | .. | 3 |
| 35. | Govt. Examinations | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| 36, | Port | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| | Total .. | 318 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 327 |

In the following cases the Commission's concurrence was sought for, but was refused for the regular appointments under the letter part of Regulation 16 (b) of the Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission Regulation 1954:

| *Sl.* No. | *Name of department.* | *Name of post.* *J.A.* | *Typist.* | *Asst.* | *Cashier.* | *No. of cases.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Police | 4 | .. | .. | .. | 4 |
| 2. | School Education | 1 | .. | . | .. | 1 |
| 3. | Public Health and Preventive Medicine | 1 | .. | . | .. | 1 |
| 4. | Registration | 2 | .. | .. | . | 2 |
| 5. | H. & R.W. | 5 | .. | .. | .. | 5 |
| 6. | Revenue | .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 |
| 7. | Survey and Land Records | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| 8. | T. & A. | 2 | .. | .. | .. | 2 |
| 9. | P.W.D. | 3 | .. | .. | . | 3 |
| 10. | P.D. Unit | .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 |
| 11. | Labour | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| 12. | H.R. & C.E. | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| 13. | Stationery & Printing | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| | | 22 | 2 | .. | .. | 24 |

(v) Recruitment to the State Services by transfer from Corresponding Subordinate Services.

During the period from 1st April 1982 to 31st March 1983, the Commission dealt with references relating to appointment by recruitment by transfer to State Service and by promotion to State Services within the same service and draw up lists of qualified and suitable candidates in the following services :—

1. Tamil Nadu Agricultural Service.
2. Tamil Nadu Collegiate Educational Service
3. Tamil Nadu Educational Service
4. Tamil Nadu Electrical Inspectorate Service
5. Tamil Nadu Employment and Training Service
6. Tamil Nadu Engineering Service
7. Tamil Nadu General Service
8. Tamil Nadu Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Administration Service
9. Tamil Nadu Industries Service
10. Tamil Nadu Medical Service
11. Tamil Nadu Port Service
12. Tamil Nadu Stationery and Printing Service
13. Tamil Nadu State Treasury and Accounts Serviee.

The posts for which recruitment was made by transfer in the above services from the corresponding subordinate services are mentioned in Appendix (D).

129—19—2

## Section VIII.

### Recruitment from among Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes.

### State Services.

1. **Technical posts**: The total number of vacancies reserved for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes in 10 classes of Technical posts in the State Services during the year was 363. The total number of applications received from qualified candidates belonging to Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes was 188. The total number of candidates selected from among Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes was 115. The details of the selections are given below.

| *Serial number.* | *Name of post.* | *Number of vacancies reserved for S.C./S.T.* | *Number of qualified from S.C./S.T.* | *Number of S.C./S.T. Candidates present at the oral test.* | *Number of S.C./S.T. candidates selected.* | *Remarks.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 1. | Senior Accounts Officer in the Tamil Nadu State Treasury and Accounts Service. | 1 | .. | ... | .. | No. qnalified candidates belonging S.C./S.T. was available for selection against the one vacancy reserved for S.C./S.T. The one vacancy reserved for S.C./S.T. has been carried forward to the next recruitment. |
| 2. | Health Officer in the Tamil Nadu Public Health Service. | 10 | 60 | 39 | 10 | .. |
| 3 | Speech Therapists in the Government Medical Institution in the Tamil Nadu Medical Servicc. | 1 | .. | .. | .. | No. qualified candidate belonging to S.C./S.T. was available for selection against the one vacancy reserved for S.C./S.T. The one vacancy reserved for S.C./S.T. has been carried forward to the next recruitmen |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4. | Technical Officer in the Police Radio Branch in the Tamil Nadu General Service. | 1 | 1 | 1 | .. | The only qualified S.C./S.T. candidates didnot obtain the minimum qualifiying marks for selection. The vacancy has been carried forward the next recruitment. |
| 5. | Assistant Medical Officer in the department of Indian Medicine in the Tamil Nadu Medical Service. | 20 | 7 | 5 | 15 | Unfilled vacancies reserved for S.C./S.T. have been carried forward to the next recruitment. |
| 6. | Automobile Engineer in the Motor Vehicles Maintaindance orgahisation in the Tamil Nadu General Service. | 1 | 2 | .. | .. | 1 vacancy reserved for S.C./S.T. has been carried forward to the next recruitment. |
| 7. | Veterinary Assistant Surgeon in the Tamil Nadu Animal Husbandry Service. | 122 (including 12 carried forward vacancies) | 34 | 32 | 32 | The remaing vacancies reserved for S.C./S.C. have been carried forward to the nex recruitment. |
| 8. | Assistant Engineer (Civil) in the Highways and Rural works Department. | 67 | 49 | 47 | 46 | The remaing vacancies reserved for S.C./S.T. have been carried forward to the next recruitment. |
| 9. | Assistant Engineer (Civil) in the PWD in the Tamil Nadu Engineering Services. | 122 (Including 40 carried forward vacancies) | 29 | 21 | 21 | 19 carried forward vacancies have been lapsed due to non-availability of S.C./S.T. cant. The remaing vacancies reserved for S.C./S.T. have been carried forward to the next recruitment. |
| 10. | Assistant Engineer (Electrical) in the Public Works Department. | 18 (Including 10 carried forward vaeancies) | 6 | 1 | 1 | 9 carried forward vacancies have lapsed due to non-availability of S.C./S.T. candidate.The remaing vacancies reserved for S.C./S.T. have been carried forward to the next recruitment, |

(ii) *Non-Technical Posts.*—The total number of vacancies reserved for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes in 3 classes of non-technical posts in the State Services during the year was 3. The total number of applications received from qualified candidates belonging to Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes was 286. 17 of those candidates were admitted to the oral test on the basis of the marks obtained by them in the written test. The total number of candidates selected from among the 17 Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes was 3. The details of the selection made are given in the table:—

TABLE.

| *Serial number.* | *Name of post.* | *Number of vacancies reserved for S.C./S.T.* | *Number of qualified from S.C./S.T.* | *Number of S.C./S.T. candidates present at the oral tests.* | *Number of S.C./S.T. candidates selected.* | *Remarks.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7 |
| 1. | Commercial Tax Officer in the Tamil Nadu Commercial Tax Service. | 1 | 6 | 6 | 1 | .. |
| 2. | Deputy Superintendent of Police in the Tamil Nadu Police Service. | 1 | 6 | 6 | 1 | .. |
| 3. | Assistant Conservator of Forests in the Tamil Nadu Forest Service. | 1 | 5 | 5 | 1 | .. |

## SUBORDINATE SERVICES.

(i) *Technical Posts*:—The total number of vacancies reserved for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes in 15 classes of posts classified as—'Technical' in the Subordinate Services during the year was 146. The total number of applications received from qualified candidates belonging to Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes was 181. The total number of of applicants belonging to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes who appeared for the oral test was 149. The total number of candidates selected from among Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes was 94.

The details of the selection made are given in the table below:—

TABLE.

| *Serial number.* | *Name of posts.* | *Number of vacancies reserved for S.C./S.T.* | *Number of qualified from S.C./S.T.* | *Number of S.C./S.T. candidates present at the oral test.* | *Number of S.C./S.T. candidates selected.* | *Remarks.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 1. | Store-keeper, Grade I, in the Agriculture Department in the Tamil Nadu Ministerial Service. | 7 | 38 | 33 | 7 | |
| 2. | Motor Vehicles Inspector, Grade II, in the Tamil Nadu Transport Department. | 12 | 4 | 4 | 2 | Includes 3 vacancies carried forward. Only 2 candidates had obtained qualifying marks for selection. Subsequently 2 candidates were selected and the remaining vacancies have been carried forward to the next recruitment. |
| 3. | Drilling Assistant in the Geology Branch of the Directorate of Industries and Commerce in the Tamil Nadu Industries Subordinate Service. | .. | 1 | 1 | 1 | The vacancy was to be filled by Open Competition. The candidate belonging to Scheduled Caste has been selected on the basis of merit. |
| 4. | Tamil Pandit, Grade I, in Government Approved Schools in the Tamil Nadu Approved Schools Subordinate Service. | 1 | 7 | 6 | | .. |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5. | Entomological Assistant in the Tamil Nadu Public Health Subordinate Service. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | .. |
| 6. | Demonstrator in the Tamil Nadu Industries Subordinate Service. | 1 | .. | .. | .. | No candidate belonging to Scheduled Caste/ Scheduled Tribe had applied to the recruitment. |
| 7. | Statistical Assistant in the Tamil Nadu Medical Subordinate Service. | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | .. |
| 8. | Assistant Librarian in the Tamil Nadu Archives Library in the Tamil Nadu General Subordinate Service and | 1 | 5 | 3 | 1 | .. |
| | Library Assistant in the Tamil Nadu Archives Library in the Tamil Nadu General Subordinate Service. | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | .. |
| 9. | Inspector of Industries and Foreman (Engg.). Grade I, in the Tamil Nadu Industries Subordinate Service. | 4 | 10 | 2 | 2 | The remaining 2 vacancies could not be filled up for want of candidates belonging to Scheduled Caste / Scheduled Tribe and have been carried forward to the next recruitment, |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10. | Physical Director and Physical Directress in the Tamil Nadu Educational Subordinate Service. | 6 | 10 | 10 | 5 | Only 5 candidates obtained qualifying marks at the oral test. Hence the remaining one vacancy could not be filled up. Subsequently one candidate was selected. |
| 11. | Cost Assistant in the Department of Industries and Commerce in the Tamil Nadu Ministerial Service. | 1 | .. | .. | .. | No. candidate had applied to this recruitment. The one vacancy was carried forward from the previous recruitment and hence lapsed. |
| 12. | Laboratory Assistant (Zoology and Chemistry) in the Tamil Nadu Fisheries Subordinate Service. | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 | .... |
| 13. | Junior Technical Assistant in the Tamil Nadu Handlooms and Textiles Subordinate Service. | 3 | 10 | 10 | 3 | .... |
| 14. | Statistician in the Tamil Nadu Medical Subordinate Service. | 2 | .. | .. | .. | No candidate belonging Scheduled Caste/Scheduled Tribe had applied to this recruitment. The 2 vacancies have been carried forward to the next recruitment. |
| 15. | Language Pandit/Munshi Grade-I, in the School Education Department in the Tamil Nadu Educational Subordinate Service. | 104* | 73 | 68 | 68 | *Includes 20 carried forward vacancies. Only 68 candidates were available for selection. Hence remaining 36 vecancies have carried forward to the next recruitment. |

## SECTION IX.

### Pancity of qualified and suitable candidates for certain posts.

### I. State Services

There were no qualified and suitable candidates for appointment to the following posts.:

(i) Senior Cytopathologist in the Arignar Anna Memorial Cancer Institute, Kancheepuram in the Tamil Nadu Medical Service.

(ii) English Reporter in the Tamil Nadu Legislative Assembly Secretariat Service.

In the following cases, the number of applicants were shall and so the field of selection on a competitive basis was limited.

| *Sl. No.* (1) | *Name of Post.* (2) | *No. of post advertised.* (3) | *No. of qualified candidates.* (4) | *No. selected.* (5) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Senior Accounts Officer in the Tamil Namil State Treasury and Accounts Service. | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| 2. | Speech Therapist in Government Medical Institutions in the Tamil Nadu Medical Service. | 6 | 9 | 4 |
| 3. | Assistant Medical Officer in the Department of Indian Medicine in the Tamil Nadu Medical Service. | 109 | 125 | 94 |
| 4. | Veterinary Assistant Surgeon in the Tamil Nadu Animal Husbandry Service. | 617 | 228 | 208 |
| 5. | Security Officer in the Office of the Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission, Madras. | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 6. | Research Officer in the Research and Statistical wing in the Office of the TNPSC, Madras. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7. | Assistant Engineer (Civil) in the Public Works Department in the Tamil Nadu Engineering Service. | 492 | 216 | 165 |
| 8. | Assistant Engineer (Civil) in the Highways and Rural Works Department. | 370 | 424 | 304 |

## SECTION X

## APPEALS AND PROPOSALS FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION.

The Commission dealt with 401 cases (including 99 cases pending as on 1st April 1982) during the year under report. (The Commission had dealt with 401 cases also in 1981—82 including 107 cases pending as on 1st April 1981). Of the 401 cases, the Commission called for the record or particulars in respect of 31 cases and the Commission's advice was Communicated to the Government in respect of 281 cases leaving a balance of 120 cases. Of the 281 cases, the Commission advised modification of punishments in respect of 12 cases. In respect of 14 out of 120 cases, records or particulars are still awaited.

2. Of the 281 cases on which the Commission's views were communicated, the Government passed orders on 244 cases, leaving a balance of 37 cases. The Government sought revised views of the Commission in respect of 5 cases and the Commission communicated its views thereon.

3. The average time taken by the Government in making a reference to the Commission, the time taken by the Commission in giving its advice and the time takan by the Government in issuing orders are as follows :

| *Year.* | *Number of cases.* | *Average time takenbythe Government for making a complete reference to the Commission after issue of charge sheet in respect of disciplinary action, appeal, etc.* | *Average time taken by the Commission for giving its advice.* | *Average time taken by the Government for passing orders after issue of Commission's advice.* | *Total time taken (3+4+5).* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1982—83 | 244 | 19.05 Months | 6.07 Months | 7.08 Months | 33.05 Months |

4. Disciplinary cases have necessarily to be considered with great case and therefore considerable time is required for examining all the records and reaching a conclusion on the charges and the penalties if any, to be imposed. The Commission has taken steps to see that no avoidable delay occurs in dealing with the cases. Details of no. of cases involving charges affecting integrity and number of cases involving charges other than integrity according to departments in respect of Appeal/Petition/Review Petition / Revision Petition / Disciplinary Action/Proposal for recovery/or reduction in pension are furnished in table I, II, and III, at (E).

5. The Commission also advised on two references for Legal Assistance. The Commission was consulted by the Government and other appointing authorities in 17 cases in the matter of request of alterations of date of birth of Government Servants.

## SECTION XI.

## GOVERNMENT AND THE COMMISSION.

(*a*) Instances of Deviation from the Commission's advice.

### 1. DISCIPLINARY CASES.

(i) In October 1978, the Government sought the views of the Commission on the review petition preferred by Thiru R. Ramasubramaniam, then Joint Commercial Tax Officer, Coimbatore against their orders stopping his increment for six months with cumulative effect. The Commission advised that the review petition be allowed as there was no legally acceptable evidence to bring home the charge.

The Government have issued orders deviating from the Commission's advice for the following reasons :—

"In the instant case, PW1 is the mother of one Late Rani Arumugam and who had proved the visit of the accused officer viz. Thiru R. Ramasubra- maniam, to her house on 25—5—1974 at 6.30 p.m. along with Thiru Muthuveerappan, Sub-Magistrate for demanding an illegal gratification to be paid to the then District Superintendent of Police (Law and Order) Coimbatore. She was never cross examined and therefore it stands to reason that her version about the visit of the petitioner to her house remains unchallenged. It has been pointed out that the accused officer happens to be a family friend of P. W. 1 and thus there could not be any motive of P.W.1 to speak against him and there could be no doubt about the identity of the person she spoke about. The contention that there is no evidence corroborating P. W. 1 could not be accepted as a well founded ground because, the strict rule of evidence will not apply to the departmental proceedings. In as much as the Tribunal relied upon the version of P. W. 1 and as the said reliance was not disproved before it, the evidence of P.W.1 could not be set aside as not reliable. The Government have therefore decided to deviate from the advice of the Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission and have accordingly decided that the review petition of Thiru R. Ramasubramaniam, formerly Joint Commercial Officer, Coimbatore be rejected as devoid of merit".

The charge was that the petitioner (Joint Commercial Tax Officer, Coimbatore) along with one Thiru Muthuveerappan, Second Class Judicial Magistrate met Selvi Rani Arumugam at her residence at Madras on 25—5—74 and demanded Rs. 10,000/ as illegal gratification to be paid to a Deputy Superintendent of Police for dropping further action against her in criminal cases.

The Commission held the charge as not proved on the following main grounds :—

a. That it did not accept the prosecution theory that the petitioner was present at Madras on 25—5—74 as there was ample documentary evidence to the contrary that he was transacting official business at Coimbatore on that date :

b. That the Tribunal itself arrived at the conclusion that the petitioner was merely present along with Thiru Muthuveerappan and that there was no evidence to show that the petitioner also demanded money as made out in the charge.

c. That Thiru Muthuveerappan, who actually demanded money, was not at all examined by the Prosecution and his evidence was dispensed with eventhough he came to the Tribunal to give evidence.

d. That PW-2, from whom the money was demanded was not even able to identify the petitioner and her evidence did not show that he was a party to the illegal transaction.

e. The evidence of PW-1 was in conflict with the detailed enquiry conducted by the Director of Vigilance and Anti-corruption and that her evidence was not corroborated".

f. The Government, while deviating from the Commission's advice, have taken into account only the conflicting and the uncorroborative evidence of PW1 and issued orders rejecting the review petition and they have not discussed the other grounds adduced by the Commission for its recommendation to set aside the penalty.

(i) In January 1982, the Government sought the views of the Commission on the Review Petition preferred by Thiru P. Ramakrishnan, Superintending Engineer, Public Works Department, formerly Senior Deputy Chief Engineer (General) against the Government's orders stopping his increment for three months without cumulative effect. The Commission advised the Government to set aside the punishment of stoppage of increment for three months without cumulative effect awarded by the Government and administer a warning to him.

The charge against the petitioner was that he permitted an Assistant Engineer to proceed to Irag without the permission formthe Government. The Commission opined that the mistake was admitted by the petitioner but he had pleaded that it occurred just 28 days after he took charge of an administrative post of Senior Deputy Chief Engineer (General) after having done field work till then, that the office Superin-

tendent, who could be expected to be conversant with the rules governing deputation, did not point out the irregularity, that unaware of the intricacies, he had also signed the order of relief, that the violation of instructions on the part of the petitioner had not been motivated and that the concerned Superintendent who dealt with the file had been let of with a warning. The Commission also observed that normally the delinguent had to bear full responsibility for what he had done and recommended that the petitioner be administered a 'Warning' considering the extenuating factors surrounding the cases. The Government have issued orders deviating from the Commission's views for the following reason:

"The administrative machinery of Government cannot run smoothly if the punishment is not imposed on an officer who has not realised that he had no authority to send an officer on deputation to a foreign country".

## 2. APPOINTMENTS

(i) The Government in the Home Department sought the Commission's concurrence in June, 1981 for the reinstatement of Thiru G. Sivaprakasam as Junior Assistant in the Police Department which post he had resigned earlier. The Commission declined to accord its concurrence on the ground that any person who resigned his post in Government service knowing fully the consequences, should not be taken back, as a matter of policy. The Government again requested the Commission to reconsider its earlier views and to accord its concurrence for the re-appointment of Thiru G. Sivaprakasam as a special case since he had resigned his post due to ill-health and that he had then regained normal health, that he was a graduate belonging to Goldsmith Community and that his work in the Police Department, before his resignation was satisfactory. But the Commission considered that as Thiru G. Sivaprakasam had put in only 9 months' service before his resignation, the work done by him during such short time could not be such as to warrant re-entry in to service by-passing all the rules. As regards the degree qualification and Goldsmith Community, the Commission viewed that, as there were large number of such candidates eagerly awaiting appointment, this candidate alone need not be given a special treatment. Therefore the Commission reiterated its earlier views.

The Government have not accepted the Commission's views and have issued orders in G.O.Ms.No.2480 Home (Police-VI)dated 29th September 1982appointing Thiru G. Sivaprakasam as Junior Assistant as freshentrant in the T. N.M. S. in the Police Department. The Government while issuing orders have observed that Thiru G. Sivaprakasam belongs to a Backward Community and is a Graduate, that he is married and has got children to be supported and that there is no other earning member

in his family. Therefore considering this case sympathetically, the Government have appointed him as Junior Assistant on compassionate Grounds. This deviation from the Commission's advice was against the accepted convention that the Commission's advice should be accepted except where matters of policy are involved or imperative reasons exist for not accepting the Commission's advice.

(ii) The post of Physiological Chemist in the Madras Medical College in the Tamil Nadu Medical Service is within the Commission's purview. The post is governed by the ad-hoc rules issued in G.O. Ms. No. 2453, Health and Family Planing, dated 4—11—1974. The Government in the Health and Family Welfare Department, in September 1981 proposed to regularise the temporary Services of the incumbent in the above post, stating that the individual had put in a Service for over nine years and that it would cause hardship to the individual if she was ousted from Service at that distance of time and sought the concurrence of the Commission for her regular appointment under the latter part of Regulation 16 (b) of the Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission Regulations, 1954. The Commission considered the above proposal and found no justifiable reason to accord its concurrence under the said Regulation for the regularisation of the temporary services of the individual, merely on the ground that she had put in long years of service in the said post and, therefore, it suggested to the Government that the individual be asked to apply to the Commission when it advertised the post for direct recruitment and take her change. The Commission also requested the Government to state whether the vacancy in the post might be advertised by the Commission for direct recruitment. The Government, in September 1982, requested the Commission to reconsider its views and accord its concurrence in the matter stating that there was no certainty that she would get selected by the Commission in the event of holding an open selection, that if she was not selected, she would be thrown out of employment after a service of ten years that too due to administrative delay and that it would create undue hardship to her as she might not be able to get employment elsewhere, her age being 40. The Commission reiterated its earlier views stating that temporary services of the individual alone could not be the sole factor for regularising her services in the said post and that if once the temporary services were given weight to appoint her on regular basis without following the prescribed procedure of recruitment, requests from other similarly placed personnel could not be denied, thus throwing the entire procedure of open market recruitment to naught. The Government preferred to deviate from the views of the Commission and in G.O.Ms. No. 939, H&.F.W., dated 8—6—1983 they issued orders appointing the individual as Physiological Chemist, Institute of Physiology and Experimental Medicine, Madras Medical College, Madras on a regular basis, as a Special case, considering her age (40 years) and her over ten years of Service in the Post. The Com-

mission regrets to note that the Government have chosen to deviate from the Commission's advice, which is accepted except when matters of Policy or imperative reasons exist and to regularise the Services of an individual after allowing her to continue in temporary service for over 10 years without taking any steps to make recruitment to the post according the prescribed procedure.

(iii) In March 1982, the Government sought the Commission's concurrence under the latter part of Regulation 16 (b) of the Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission Regulations 1954, for the regular appointment of Thiru R. Venkateswaran, brother of (Late Thiru R. Subramanian (Formerly Typist) as Junior Assistant in the Labour department in the Tamil Nadu Ministerial Service. Considering the indigent circumstance of the family of the deceased Government Servant, the Government issued orders in G.O. Ms. No. 2473, Labour and Employment, dated 31st October 1981 by relaxing the conditions stipulated in para 2 of the G. O. Ms. No. 560, Labour and Employment, dated 3rd August 1977 for the temporary appointment of Thiru R. Venkateswaran as Junior Assistant in the Labour department with effect from 16th November 1981 though he could not be considered as a near relative of the deceased Government Servant.

On the date of death of the deceased Government servant, Thiru R. V. Venkateswaran, brother of (Late) Thiru R. Subramanian, had exceeded the age-limit of 30 years. In view of this and in view of the fact that he could not be considered as a near relative of the deceased Government Servant with reference to the orders issued by the Government in this regard, the Commission considered that Thiru R. Venkateswaran, brother of (Late) Thiru R. Subramanian could not be appointed regularly in the post of Junior Assistant on compassionate grounds and refused to accord its concurrence for such regular appointment eventhough the Government had already relaxed the relevant conditions stipulated in para 2 of the G. O. Ms. No. 560, Labour and Employment, dated 3rd August 1977 for this temporary appointment. But the Government have deviated from the Commission's advice and issued order in G. O. Ms. No. 1556, Labour and Employment dated 5th July 1983 for the regular appointment of Thiru R. Venkateswaran, brother of (Late) Thiru R. Subramanian as Junior Assistant in the Labour Department in Tamil Nadu Ministerial Service with effect from the date of this temporary appointment, taking into account of the indigent circumstances of the family of the deceased Government Servant. Thus the conditions prescribed by the Government after much deliberations to give appointment on compassionate grounds to the dependants of the Government servant who die in harness have been ignored by the Government themselves, who have by that order ignored the Commission's refused to accord its concurrence in the case, quoting the provisions of the Government order.

(iv) In July 1982, the Government, at the instance of the Collector of Ramanathapuram District, proposed to regularise the temporary service of Thiru D. Ravindran, son of Thiru S. Durairaj as Junior Assistant in the Ramanathapuram District Revenue Unit in taken of appreciation of the bravery exhibited by Thiru S. Durairaj and his two sons in protecting the lives of Adi Dravidars on 10th April 1981 during a communal clash in and around Ramanathapuram Town and sought the Commission's concurrence for his regular appointment, treating it as appoiniment made on compassionate grounds in the case of dependants of deceased Government servants. The Commission informed the Government that the acts of bravery were already being rewarded in one formor other even at the national level, that there was therefore no need to give appointment as Junior Assistant to Thiru D. Ravindran as a reward for the bravery exhibited by his father, and that his appointment should not be treated on par with the appointments of dependants of deceased Government servants whose families were in indigent circums stances since the father of Thiru D.Ravindran was already employed as a Revenue Inspector. Moreover it was also pointed out to the Government that this sort of reward to acts of bravery would lead to all sorts of complications. Therefore, the Commission refused to accord concurrence for the regular appointment of Thiru D. Ravindran as Junior Assistant in the Ramanathapuram District Revenue Unit made otherwise than in the normal method of appointment. However, the Government have deviated from the Commission's views and issued orders in G. O. Ms. No. 1277, Revenue, dated 20th July 1983, appointing Thiru D. Ravindran as Junior Assistant in the Ramanathapuram District Revenue Unit on regular basis. The Government have stated that as Thiru D. Ravindran had already been appointed temporarily as Junior Assistant, it would not be desirable to terminate his service and that the meritorious service rendered by Thiru S. Durairaj and his two sons at a critical time and at the peak of communal clashes deserve employment assistance for his family instead of making cash awards. Considering the case of Thiru D. Ravindran as a special case the Government have appointed him as Junior Assistant on regular basis in the Tamil Nadu Ministerial Service. The only reason put forth by the Government for deviating from the Commission's views was that as the candidate had already been appointed temporarily, it would not be desirable terminate his services. The Commission feels that this reason is not a compelling one for the Government to deviate from the Commission's views.

(v) The Government in G. O. Ms. No. 1210, Health and Family Welfare Department, dated 9th June 1982, issued orders removing the names of 116 candidates from the list of candidates selected by the Commission in 1980 for appointment as Assistant Surgeons in the Tamil Nadu Medical Service. According to rule 18 of the Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission Rules of procedure the Commission should have been consulted before the removal of the names of the candidates

from the approved list drawn by it. As the Government did not consult the Commission before the removal of the names of the candidates the Government were requested to state the circumstances under which the Commission was not consulted in the matter. The Government, in their reply, dated that the course of action was taken with a view to, know the number of posts that fell vacant due to not joining duty by the Medical Officers selected by the Commission so that the delay in furnishing the estimate of vacancies for 1982—83 could be avoided and that the vacant posts could be filled up early with next batch of candidates to avoided public criticism on the vacant posts of Medical Officers in this State. Though the Government were aware that the statutory requirement in rule 18 of the Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission Rules of Procedure was there for a long time and the Government themselves had in the past consulted the Commission before removing the names they have not done so on this occasion. However the Government have stated that the instructions issued by the Government in the Personnel and Administrative Reforms Department, on 28th June 1982 would be followed strictly in future.

## GENERAL.

The Chairman, Sikkim Public Service Commission paid an official visit to this Commission on 28th June 1982 and on 29th June 1982. He held discussion with the Chairman and Members of this Commission on matters of common interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.

The Commission recorded its appreciation of willing co-operation extended by various trusts, Educational Institutions and their officers in the matter of providing suitable accomodation for the various examinations held by the Commission. It also records its appreciation to the officials of the Revenue Departments in their continued assistance to the Commission in its official duties and responsibilities in the District.

The Commission is also grateful to the examiners and expert advisers, who inspite of their personal inconveniences, readily accepted the Commission's invitations and assisted it in making the selection of officers for appointment to the various posts under the State Government.

Finally, the Commission places on records its appreciation of the loyal and efficient service rendered by its staff throughout the year.

Major-General S. P. MAHADEVAN, A.V.S.M. (Retd.)
*Continued as Chairman of the Commission.*

Thiru M. THIRUMALAISWAMY, I.A.S. (Retd.),

Thiru M. PERIASAMY, I.A.S. (Retd.)

Dr. Thirumathi AMBIGA SHANMUGAM

Thiru C.G. THIRUMAL, I.A.S. (Retd.)

Thiru S. THIRUMALAIAPPAN, I.A.S. (Retd.) and

Thiru M. ABDUL SAMATH,
*Continued as Members of the Commission.*

Thiru N. RAMASWAMI, I.A.S.,
*Continued as Secretary to the Commission.*

Thiru JOR SINGH SYIEM, I.A.S.,
*Continued as Controller of Examinations up to* 17—4—1982.

Thiru N. RAMASWAMI, I.A.S.,
*Secretary to the Commission held full additional charge of the post of Controller of Examinations from* 18—4—1982 *to* 9—5—1982.

Thiru SYED MUNIR HODA, I.A.S.,
*Assumed charge as Controller of Examinations on* 10—5—1982 *and continued to be the Controller of Examinations.*

## APPENDIX 'A

[*Vide* item No (i) under Section IV,]

### ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS OF SPECIAL TEST HELD IN MAY 1982.

| *Name of the Test* | *Total numbers* | | | | | *Percentage of passed.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | *Admitted.* | *Absent.* | *Examined.* | *Passed.* | *Failed.* | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 1. The Account Test for Executive Officers .. .. .. | 3,427 | 432 | 2,995 | 1,675 | 1,320 | 56% |
| 2. The Revenue Test, Part-I, I Paper .. .. .. | 835 | 176 | 659 | 122 | 537 | 18.51 |
| 3. The Revenue Test, Part-I, II Paper .. .. .. | 828 | 153 | 675 | 415 | 260 | 61.48 |
| 4. The Revenue Test, Part-II .. .. .. .. .. | 690 | 133 | 557 | 358 | 199 | 64.27 |
| 5. The Revenue Test-Part, III .. .. .. .. .. | 790 | 144 | 646 | 354 | 292 | 48.07 |
| 6. The Civil and Criminal Judicial Test for members of the Judicial Department, Part-I. | 127 | 20 | 107 | 46 | 61 | 42.99 |
| 7. The Civil and Criminal Judicial Test for members of the Judicial Department, Part-II. | 119 | 17 | 102 | 91 | 11 | 89.22 |
| 8. The Civil and Criminal Judicial Test for members of the Judicial Department, Part-III. | 127 | 24 | 103 | 59 | 44 | 57.28 |
| 9. The Account Test for Public Works Department Officers and Subordinates. | 1,617 | 435 | 1,182 | 353 | 829 | 29.86 |
| 10. The District Office Manual Test .. .. .. .. | 5,888 | 1,174 | 4,714 | 3,153 | 1,561 | 66.88% |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 11. The Translation Test— | | | | | | |
| Tamil .. | 75 | 17 | 58 | 15 | 43 | 25.86 |
| Hindi .. | 3 | Nil | 3 | Nil | 3 | Ni |
| Telugu | 1 | Nil | 1 | 1 | Nil | 100 |
| 12. The Jail Test, Part-I | | | | | | |
| (a) The Indian Penal Code | 18 | .. | 18 | 4 | 14 | 22.22 |
| (b) The Code of Criminal Procedure | 21 | .. | 21 | 2 | 19 | 9.52 |
| (c) Laws, Rules, Regulations and Orders relating to Jail Management. | 21 | .. | 21 | 6 | 15 | 28.57 |
| 13. The Jail Test, Part-II | 6 | .. | 6 | 2 | 4 | 33.33 |
| 14. The Criminal Judicial Test, Part-I | 424 | 128 | 296 | 161 | 135 | 54.39 |
| 15. The Criminal Judicial Test, Part-II | 415 | 91 | 324 | 124 | 200 | 37.96 |
| 16. The Criminal Judicial Test, Part-III | 279 | 63 | 216 | 18 | 198 | 8,33 |
| 17. The Account Test for Highways and Rural Works Department Officers and Subordinates. | 396 | 127 | 269 | 83 | 186 | 30.86 |
| 18. The Agriculture Department Test for members of the Tamil Nadu Ministerial Service in the Agriculture Department. | 99 | Nil | 99 | 77 | 22 | 77.7 |
| 19. The Agriculture Department Test for the Technical Staff in the Agriculture Department. | 193 | 53 | 140 | 108 | 32 | 77.1 |
| 20. The Account Test for Subordinate Officers, Part-I | 6,874 | 1,682 | 5,192 | 2,694 | 2,498 | 51.89 |
| 21. The Account Test for Subordinate Officers, Part-II | 2,034 | 540 | 1,494 | 918 | 576 | 61.45 |

## ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS OF THE SPECIAL TESTS HELD IN NOVEMBER 1982.

| Name of the Test. | Total numbers. | | | | | Percentage of passed. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Admitted. | Absent. | Examined. | Passed. | Failed. | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 1. The Account Test for Executive Officers .. .. .. | 3,726 | 327 | 3,399 | 2,062 | 1,337 | 60.72 |
| 2. The Revenue Test, Part-I, I Paper .. .. .. .. | 1,092 | 182 | 910 | 295 | 615 | 32.42 |
| 3. The Revenue Test, Part I, II Paper .. .. .. .. | 893 | 171 | 722 | 342 | 380 | 47.37 |
| 4. The Revenue Test, Part-II .. .. .. .. .. | 812 | 189 | 623 | 369 | 254 | 59.23 |
| 5. The Revenue Test, Part-III .. .. .. .. .. | 987 | 239 | 748 | 342 | 407 | 45.59 |
| 6. The Civil and Criminal Judicial Test for members of the Judicial Department, Part-I. | 167 | 19 | 148 | 74 | 74 | 50 |
| 7. The Civil and Criminal Judicial Test for members of the Judicial Department, Part-II. | 150 | 12 | 138 | 45 | 93 | 32.6 |
| 8. The Civil and Criminal Judicial Test for members of the Judicial Department, Part-III. | 177 | 19 | 158 | 102 | 56 | 64.56 |
| 9. The Account Test for Public Works Department Officers and Subordinates. | 1,754 | 494 | 1,260 | 668 | 592 | 53.01 |
| 10. The District Office Manual Test .. .. .. .. | 6,401 | 1,350 | 5,051 | 3,690 | 1,361 | 73.05 |
| 11. The Translation Test— | | | | | | |
| Tamil .. .. .. .. .. .. | 58 | 8 | 50 | 17 | 33 | 34 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Malayalam .. .. .. .. .. .. | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 33.33 |
| Hindi .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | Nil |
| Urdu .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| 12. The Jail Test, Part I— | | | | | | |
| (*a*) The Indian Penal Code .. .. .. .. .. | 31 | 5 | 26 | 8 | 18 | 30.77 |
| (*b*) The Code of Criminal Procedure .. .. .. .. | 28 | 2 | 26 | 21 | 5 | 80.77 |
| (*c*) Laws, Rules, Regulations and Orders relating to Jail Management. | 31 | 2 | 29 | 23 | 6 | 79.31 |
| 13. The Jail Test, Part-II .. .. .. .. .. .. | 10 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 100 |
| 14. The Criminal Judicial Test, Part-I .. .. .. .. | 520 | 153 | 367 | 123 | 244 | 33.51 |
| 15. The Criminal Judicial Test, Part-II .. .. .. .. | 572 | 146 | 426 | 140 | 286 | 32.86 |
| 16. The Criminal Judicial Test, Part-III .. .. .. | 393 | 84 | 309 | 224 | 85 | 72.49 |
| 17. The Account Test for Highways and Rural Works Department Officers and Subordinates. | 383 | 103 | 280 | 62 | 218 | 22.14 |
| 18. The Agriculture Department Test for members of the Tamil Nadu Ministerial Service in the Agriculture Department. | 193 | 30 | 163 | 94 | 69 | 57.66 |
| 19. The Agriculture Department Test for the Technical Staff in the Agriculture Department. | 217 | 76 | 141 | 59 | | 41.84 |
| 20. The Account Test for Subordinate Officers, Part-I .. | 7,558 | 1,654 | 5,904 | 3,353 | 2,551 | 56.49 |
| 21. The Account Test for Subordinate Officers, Part-II .. | 2,065 | 641 | 1,424 | 860 | 564 | 60.39 |

**APPENDIX—A-1**

**ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS OF THE DEPARTMENTAL TESTS HELD I MAY 1982.**

(*Vide* item No (ii) under section IV).

| Name of the test. | Total number. | | | | | Percentage of passed to examined. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Admitted. | Absent. | Examined. | Passed. | Failed. | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 1. Account Test for Public Works Workshops Officers | 1 | Nil. | 1 | Nil | 1 | Nil |
| 2. The Department Test for Officers of the Tamil Nadu Jail Service. | | | No candidate | | | |
| 3. Deartmental Test for Officers of the Probation Branch of the Jail Department— | | | | | | |
| Part I .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | | .. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50 |
| Part II .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | | .. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50 |
| 4. Departmental Test in the Manual of Firemanship for Officers of the Tamil Nadu Fire Service. | | | No candidate. | | | |
| 5. The Tamil Nadu Fire Service Department Test .. .. | 13 | | 13 | 7 | 6 | 53.84 |
| 6. Departmental Test for Junior Assistant in the Office of the Administrator-General and Official Trustee. | | | No candidate. | | | |
| 7. Subordinate Accounts Service Examination | | | | | | |
| Part I .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 318 | 86 | 232 | 16 | 216 | 6.89 |
| Part II .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 144 | 16 | 128 | 7 | 121 | 5.46 |
| 8. Fisheries Department Test-I .. .. .. .. | 25 | .. | 25 | 13 | 12 | 52 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9. Fisheries Department Test II— | | | | | | |
| Part A .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 9 | .. | 9 | 5 | 4 | 55.55 |
| Part B .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 12 | .. | 12 | 6 | 6 | 50 |
| Part C .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 10 | .. | 10 | 8 | 2 | 80 |
| 10. Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments (Administration) Department Test. | 60 | .. | 60 | 41 | 19 | 68.33 |
| 11. Head Surveyor's and Sub-Assistant Test .. .. .. | 474 | 112 | 362 | 66 | 296 | 18.23 |
| 12. Field Surveyor's Test .. .. .. .. .. .. | 187 | 18 | 169 | 72 | 97 | 42.60 |
| 13. Revenue Draughtsman's Test .. .. .. .. | 71 | 9 | 62 | 42 | 20 | 67.74 |
| 14. Computation Test— | | | | | | |
| English .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 22 | .. | 22 | 5 | 17 | 22.72 |
| Tamil .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 89 | .. | 89 | 17 | 72 | 19.10 |
| 15. Deputy Surveyor's Test .. .. .. .. .. | 294 | 31 | 263 | 43 | 220 | 16.34 |
| 16. Departmental Test for members of the Tamil Nadu Ministerial Service in the National Employment Service. | 35 | .. | 35 | 13 | 22 | 37.14 |
| 17. Department Test for Officers of the National Employment Service. | 13 | .. | 13 | 6 | 7 | 46.15 |
| 18. Port Department Test Higher Grade .. .. .. | 4 | .. | 4 | 2 | 2 | 50 |
| Lower Grade .. .. .. .. .. .. | No candidate. | | | | | |
| 19. The Tamil Nadu Wakf Board Deartment Test — | | | | | | |
| I Paper .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 2 | Nil | 2 | 2 | 0 | 10.0 |
| II Paper .. .. .. .. .. .. | 3 | Nil | 3 | 2 | 1 | 66.66 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 20. Departmental Test for Officers of Tamil Nadu Agricultural Service. | 32 | .. | 32 | 6 | 26 | 18.75 |
| 21. Departmental Test on Motor Vechicles Rules and Regulations. | 67 | 15 | 52 | 4 | 48 | 7.69 |
| 22. Departmental Test for Government Press Officers .. | 1 | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | 100 |
| 23. The Stationery and Printing Department Test— | | | | | | |
| (*a*) Part A .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 13 | 1 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 50.83 |
| (*b*) Part B .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 12 | 0 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 83.33 |
| 24. Departmental Test for Motor Vechicles Inspectors and Assistant Motor Vechicles Inspectors— | | | | | | |
| (*a*) Motor Vehicles Acts and Rules and Regulations etc. | 5 | .. | 5 | 2 | 3 | 40 |
| (*b*) The Code of Criminal Procedure and the District Office Manual. | 18 | .. | 18 | 4 | 14 | 22.22 |
| 25. Departmental Test in the Tamil Nadu Medical Code .. | 704 | 132 | 572 | 445 | 127 | 77.79 |
| 26. Forest Department Test— | | | | | | |
| (*a*) The Tamil Nadu Forest Department Code and the Tami Nadu Account Code— | | | | | | |
| Volume III—Part III .. .. .. .. .. | 56 | 13 | 43 | 12 | 31 | 27.90 |
| (*b*) The Standing Orders of the Board of Revenue and Service Rules. | 66 | 10 | 56 | 17 | 39 | 30.35 |
| 27. Departmental Test for Junior Assistants in the Police Department. | 79 | 4 | 75 | 17 | 58 | 22.66 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 28. Labour and Factories Department Test— | | | | | | |
| (a) Part A .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 29 | .. | 29 | 10 | 19 | 34.48 |
| (b) Part B .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 32 | .. | 32 | 7 | 25 | 21.87 |
| 29. Local Fund Audit Department Test— | | | | | | |
| (a) Local Acts and Rules framed thereunder .. .. | 60 | .. | 60 | 5 | 55 | 8.33 |
| 30. Departmental Test for Forest Subordinate Service Officers — | | | | | | |
| (a) Forest Law .. .. .. .. .. .. | 234 | 60 | 174 | 95 | 79 | 54.59 |
| (b) Office Procedure and Accounts .. .. .. | 187 | 47 | 140 | 53 | 87 | 37.85 |
| 31. Departmental Test for apointment of Assistant Audit Officer in the Audit Wing of the Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments (Administration) Department:— | | | | | | |
| Part I—A & B .. .. .. .. .. .. | 55 | 4 | 51 | 19 | 32 | 37.25 |
| Part II—A & B .. .. .. .. .. .. | 62 | 1 | 61 | 26 | 35 | 42.62 |
| 32. Electricity Department Account Test .. .. .. | 442 | 55 | 387 | 163 | 225 | 41.86 |
| 33. Departmental Test for Accounts Officer in the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board. | 179 | 33 | 146 | 40 | 106 | 27.39 |
| 34. Departmental Test for Grama Sevaks Grade I & II and Grama Sevikas— | | | | | | |
| (a) Manual of Village level workers and Community Development Manual. | 60 | .. | 60 | 35 | 25 | 58.3 |
| (b) Village Swaraj .. .. .. .. .. .. | 56 | .. | 56 | 49 | 7 | 87.5 |
| (c) The Madras Panchayat Act 1958(without) Rules and Orders. | 54 | .. | 54 | 42 | 12 | 77.77 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 35. Departmental Test for Supervisors of Industrial Co-operative in the Industries Department.— | | | | | | |
| (a) Co-operation - General.. .. .. .. .. | 54 | .. | 54 | 30 | 24 | 55.55 |
| (b) Co-operative Accounts and Audit including Book-keeping and Secretarial Practice. | 39 | 7 | 32 | 14 | 18 | 53.84 |
| (c) Industrial Co-operation .. .. .. .. | 61 | .. | 61 | 33 | 28 | 54.09 |
| (d) Business Administration including Cost Accounting | 17 | .. | 17 | 12 | 5 | 70.58 |
| 36. Local Fund and Audit Department Test— | | | | | | |
| Comercial Book-Keeping .. .. .. .. | 713 | .. | 713 | 361 | 352 | 50.63 |
| 37. Animal Husbandry Department Test .. .. .. | 73 | .. | 73 | 50 | 23 | 58.49 |
| 38. Deputy Inspector's Test— | | | | | | |
| (i) First Paper .. .. .. .. .. .. | 1,581 | 469 | 1,112 | 662 | 450 | 50.53 |
| (ii) Second Paper .. .. .. .. .. .. | 1,628 | 420 | 1,208 | 900 | 308 | 74.50 |
| (iii) Educational Statistics .. .. .. .. .. | 1,549 | 439 | 1,110 | 935 | 175 | 84.2 |
| 39. Departmental Test for Audit Clerks in the Accounts Branch of the Office of the Chief Engineer (Highways & Rural Works) Madras. | 20 | .. | 20 | 14 | 6 | 70 |
| 40. Departmental Test for Audit Superintendents in the Accounts Branch of the Office of the Chief Engineer (Highways and Rural Works) Madras. | 10 | .. | 10 | 2 | 8 | 20 |
| 41. Departmental Test for appointment as Pay and Accounts Officer in the Accounts Wing of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board. | 4 | .. | 4 | 1 | 3 | 25 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 42. Departmental Test in the Tamil Nadu Service Manual Volume I for the staff of the Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission. | 127 | 46 | 81 | 59 | 22 | 72.8 |
| 43 Advanced Language Test for Officers of the Tamil Nadu Educational Subordinate Service in Tamil | 25 | 8 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 52.9 |
| 44. Second Class Language Test— | | | | | | |
| Written .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 885 | 118 | 767 | 716 | 51 | 92.04 |
| Oral .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 736 | 16 | 720 | 579 | 141 | 80.43 |
| 45. Special Language Test for Officers of the Education Department—Higher Standard Tamil. | 18 | 5 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 23 |
| 46 Departmental Tests for Officers in the Panchayat Development Department—(a) Manual of Village Level Workers. | 502 | 114 | 388 | 312 | 76 | 80.41 |
| (*b*) Village Swaraj .. .. .. .. .. .. | 597 | 176 | 421 | 207 | 214 | 49.17 |
| (*c*) Constitution of India and Miscellaneous Acts .. .. | 506 | 114 | 392 | 367 | 25 | 93.64 |
| (*d*) The Tamil Nadu Panchayat Act, 1958 .. .. .. | 479 | 118 | 361 | 121 | 240 | 33.52 |
| (*e*) The Tamil Nadu District Municipalities Act, 1920 .. | 98 | 15 | 83 | 53 | 30 | 63.86 |
| 47. The Panchayat Development Account Test .. .. .. | 964 | 161 | 803 | 184 | 619 | 22.91 |
| 48. Departmental Test for Officers of the Co-operative Department— | | | | | | |
| (*a*) Co-operation .. .. .. .. .. .. | 292 | 59 | 233 | 113 | 120 | 49.50 |
| (*b*) Auditing .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 234 | 42 | 192 | 111 | 81 | 56.72 |
| (*c*) Banking and Rural Economics .. .. .. .. | 298 | 11 | 287 | 15 0 | 137 | 52.26 |
| (*d*) Book-keeping .. .. .. .. .. .. | 184 | 27 | 157 | 105 | 52 | 66.88 |

| (1) | (2 | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 49. Registration Department—Test— | | | | | | |
| (*a*) Group I .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 158 | 29 | 129 | 34 | 95 | 26.36 |
| (*b*) Group II .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 161 | 27 | 134 | 51 | 83 | 38.80 |
| (*c*) Group III .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 123 | 21 | 102 | 24 | 78 | 23.53 |
| 50. Departmental Test for Subordinates Officers in the Treasuries and Accounts Department. | 204 | 26 | 178 | 73 | 105 | 41.01 |
| 51. Departmental Tests in Commercial Taxes Acts— | | | | | | |
| Part I .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 341 | 53 | 288 | 153 | 135 | 53.12 |
| Part II .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 285 | 45 | 240 | 72 | 168 | 30.42 |
| Part III .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 173 | 34 | 139 | 122 | 17 | 87.77 |
| 52. Departmental Test for members of the Staff of the Khadi and Village Industries Board— | | | | | | |
| I Paper .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 17 | .. | 17 | 15 | 2 | 88.23 |
| II Paper .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 18 | 1 | 17 | 14 | 3 | 82.35 |
| 53. Third Class Language Test— | | | | | | |
| Tamil .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 3 | 3 | .. | .. | .. | . |
| Telugu .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 1 | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | 100 |

## ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS OF THE DEPARTMENTAL TEST HELD IN NOVEMBER, 1982.

| Serial number and Name of the test. | Total Numbers. | | | | | Percentage of passed. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Admitted. | Absent. | Examined. | Passed. | Failed. | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 1. Account Test for Public Works Workshops Officers .. | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | .. | 100 |
| 2. The Departmental Test for Officers of the Tamil Nadu Jail Service. | 2 | 1 | 1 | .. | 1 | .. |
| 3. Departmental Test for Officers of the Probation branch of the Jail Department— | | | | | | |
| Part I .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 1 | 1 | Nil. | .. | .. | .. |
| Part II .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 2 | 1 | 1 | Nil | 1 | Nil |
| 4. Departmental Test in the Manual of Fireman ship for Officers of the Tamil Nadu Fire Service. | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 33.33 |
| 5. The Tamil Nadu Fire Service Department Test .. .. | 30 | 8 | 22 | 15 | 7 | 68.18 |
| 6. Departmental Test for Junior Assistants in the office of the Administrator-General and Official Trustee. | 2 | .. | 2 | .. | 2 | .. |
| 7 Subordinate Accounts Service Examination— | | | | | | |
| Part I .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 315 | 91 | 224 | 28 | 196 | 12.5 |
| Part II .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 144 | 21 | 123 | 12 | 111 | 9.75 |
| 8. Fisheries Department Test I .. .. .. .. .. | 26 | 3 | 23 | 21 | 2 | 91.3 |

| (1) | (2) | (4) | (3) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 91 Fisheries Department Test II .. .. .. .. | | | | | | |
| Part A .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 19 | .. | 19 | 16 | 3 | 84.21 |
| Part B .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 15 | .. | 15 | 9 | 6 | 60 |
| Part C .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 14 | .. | 14 | 10 | 4 | 71.43 |
| 10. Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments (Administration) Department Testl | 67 | 16 | 51 | 14 | 37 | 27.45 |
| 11. Head Surveyor's and Sub-Assistant's Test .. .. .. | 625 | 167 | 458 | 145 | 313 | 31.6 |
| 12. Field Surveyor's Test .. .. .. .. .. .. | 197 | 11 | 186 | 21 | 165 | 11.29 |
| 13. Revenue Draughtsman's Test .. .. .. .. .. | 60 | 10 | 50 | 34 | 76 | 68 |
| 14. Computation Test | | | | | | |
| English .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 24 | 5 | 19 | 9 | 10 | 47.36 |
| Tamil .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 116 | 19 | 97 | 47 | 50 | 48.45 |
| 15. Deputy Surveyor's Test | | | | | | |
| Tamil .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 379 | 30 | 349 | 168 | 181 | 48.13 |
| English .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 16 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 30.76 |
| Malayalam .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 2 | .. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50 |
| 16. Departmental Test for members of the Tamil Nadu Ministerial Service in the National Employment Service. | 64 | 9 | 55 | 3 | 52 | 5.45 |
| 17. Departmental Test for Officers of the National Employment Service. | 26 | 8 | 13 | 3 | 15 | 16.67 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 18. Port Department Test— | | | | | | |
| Higher Grade | 8 | 1 | 7 | .. | 7 | .. |
| Lower Grade | No candidate | | | | | |
| 19. The Tamil Nadu Wakf Board Department Test— | | | | | | |
| I Paper | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 33.3 |
| II Paper | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 75 |
| 20. Departmental test for officers of Tamil Nadu Agricultural Service. | 75 | 31 | 44 | 26 | 18 | 59 |
| 21. Departmental Test on Motor Vehicles Rules and Regulations. | 94 | 27 | 67 | 23 | 44 | 34.32 |
| 22. Departmental Test for Government Press Officers | 5 | 0 | 5 | .. | 5 | .. |
| 23. The Stationery and Printing Department Test— | | | | | | |
| (*a*) Part A | 9 | 1 | 8 | 8 | .. | 100 |
| (*b*) Part B | 10 | 2 | 8 | 8 | .. | 100 |
| 24. Departmental Test for Motor Vehicles Inspectors and Assistant Motor Vehicles Inspectors— | | | | | | |
| (*a*) Motor Vehicles Acts and Rules and Regulations, etc. | 25 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 83.33 |
| (*b*) The Code of Criminal Procedure and the District Office Manual. | 47 | 10 | 37 | 14 | 23 | 37.73 |
| 25 Departmental Test in the Tamil Nadu Medical Code | 507 | 87 | 420 | 277 | 143 | 65.71 |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 26. Forest Department Test— | | | | | | |
| (*a*) The Tamil Nadu Forest Department Code and the Tamil Nadu Account Code Volume III, Part III. | 87 | 19 | 68 | 24 | 44 | 35.2 |
| (*b*) The Standing Order of the Board of Revenue and the Service Rules. | 82 | 20 | 62 | 24 | 37 | 38.70 |
| 27. Departmental Test for Junior Assistants in the Police Department. | 126 | 12 | 114 | 46 | 68 | 40.35 |
| 28. Labour and Factories Department Test— | | | | | | |
| (*a*) Part A .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 71 | 7 | 64 | 46 | 18 | 71.87 |
| (*b*) Part B .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 73 | 7 | 66 | 10 | 56 | 15.15 |
| 29. Local Fund Audit Department Test— | | | | | | |
| (*a*) Local Acts and Rules framed thereunder .. .. | 73 | 5 | 68 | 38 | 30 | 55.88 |
| 30. Departmental Test for Forest Subordinate Services Officers— | | | | | | |
| (*a*) Forest Law .. .. .. .. .. .. | 386 | 131 | 255 | 41 | 214 | 16.07 |
| (*b*) Office Procedure and Accounts .. .. .. | 373 | 115 | 258 | 115 | 143 | 44.57 |
| 31. Departmental Test for appointment of Assistant Audit Officer in the Audit Wing of the Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments (Administration) Department— | | | | | | |
| Part I A and B .. .. .. .. .. .. | 49 | 10 | 39 | 14 | 25 | 35.89 |
| Part II A and B .. .. .. .. .. .. | 51 | 10 | 41 | 16 | 25 | 39.02 |
| 32. Electricity Department Account Test .. .. .. .. | 500 | 442 | 58 | 352 | 90 | 79.6[illegible] |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 33. Department Test for Account's Officers in the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board. | 254 | 195 | 59 | 70 | 125 | 35.89 |
| 34. Departmental Test for Grama Sevaks Grades I and II and Grama Sevikas— | | | | | | |
| (a) Manual of Village Level Workers and Community Development Manual. | 193 | 141 | 52 | 47 | 94 | 33.33 |
| (b) Village Swaraj .. .. .. .. .. .. | 193 | 145 | 48 | 45 | 100 | 31.03 |
| (c) The Madras Panchayat Act, 1958 (without rules and orders). | 186 | 140 | 46 | 122 | 18 | 87.10 |
| 35. Departmental Test for Supervisors of Industrial Co-operations in the Industries Department— | | | | | | |
| (a) Co-operation—General .. .. .. .. .. | 90 | 70 | 20 | 18 | 52 | 25.17 |
| (b) Co-operative Accounts and Audit including Book-Keeping and Secretariat Practice. | 81 | 61 | 20 | 25 | 36 | 40.98 |
| (c) Industrial Co-operation .. .. .. .. | 101 | 81 | 20 | 32 | 49 | 39.5 |
| (d) Business Administration including Cost Accounting. | 34 | 30 | 4 | 17 | 13 | 56.66 |
| 36. Local Fund and Audit Department Test— | | | | | | |
| Commercial Book-Keeping .. .. .. .. .. | 1,088 | 691 | 397 | 126 | 565 | 18.23 |
| 37. Animal Husbandry Department Test .. .. .. .. | 177 | 166 | 11 | 133 | 33 | 80.12 |
| 38. Deputy Inspector's Test— | | | | | | |
| (1) First Paper .. .. . .. .. .. | 1,338 | 937 | 401 | 721 | 214 | 76.94 |
| (2) Second Paper .. .. . .. .. .. | 1,320 | 899 | 421 | 509 | 390 | 56.61 |
| (3) Educational Statistics .. .. .. .. .. | 1,193 | 828 | 365 | 670 | 158 | 80.82 |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 39. Departmental Test for appointment as Pay and Accounts Officers in the Accounts Wing of the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board. | 14 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 27.3 |
| 40. Departmental Test in the Tamil Nadu Service Manual Volume I for the Staff of the Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission. | 85 | 83 | 2 | 51 | 32 | 61 |
| 41. Advanced Language Test for Officers of the Tamil Nadu Educational Subordinate Service in Tamil. | 25 | 17 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 52.91 |
| 42. Second Class Language Test— | | | | | | |
| Written .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 1,585 | 105 | 1,480 | 1,076 | 404 | 72.70 |
| Oral .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 1,110 | 11 | 1,099 | 830 | 269 | 75.52 |
| 43. Special Language Test for Officers in the Education Department Higher Standard—Tamil. | 19 | 7 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 50.33 |
| 44. Departmental Test for Officers of the Panchayat Development Department— | | | | | | |
| (*a*) Manual of Village Level Workers .. .. .. | 712 | 202 | 510 | 236 | 274 | 46.27 |
| (*b*) Village Swaraj .. .. .. .. .. .. | 822 | 231 | 591 | 184 | 407 | 31.30 |
| (*c*) Constitution of India and Miscellaneous Acts .. | 644 | 174 | 470 | 181 | 289 | 38.51 |
| (*d*) The Tamil Nadu Panchayat Act, 1958 .. .. | 781 | 167 | 614 | 376 | 238 | 67.07 |
| (*e*) The Tamil Nadu District Municipalities Act, 1920 .. | 141 | 33 | 108 | 27 | 81 | 25 |
| 45. The Panchayat Development Account Test .. .. .. | 1,571 | 311 | 1,260 | 252 | 1,008 | 20 |
| 46. Departmental Test for Subordinate Officers in the Treasuries and Accounts Department. | 224 | 41 | 183 | 72 | 111 | 39.34 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 47. Departmental Test for Officers of the Co-operative Department— | | | | | | |
| (*a*) Co-operation | 390 | 58 | 332 | 272 | 60 | 81.92 |
| (*b*) Auditing | 377 | 33 | 344 | 144 | 200 | 42.15 |
| (*c*) Banking and Rural Economics | 339 | 46 | 293 | 212 | 81 | 72.35 |
| (*d*) Book-Keeping | 296 | 47 | 249 | 104 | 145 | 41.76 |
| 48. Registration Department Test— | | | | | | |
| (*a*) Group I | 205 | 29 | 176 | 41 | 135 | 23.31 |
| (*b*) Group II | 212 | 38 | 174 | 40 | 134 | 22.98 |
| (*c*) Group III | 199 | 29 | 170 | 30 | 140 | 17.65 |
| 49. Departmental Test in Commercial Taxes Acts— | | | | | | |
| Part I | 447 | 77 | 370 | 91 | 279 | 24.59 |
| Part II | 430 | 71 | 359 | 59 | 300 | 16.43 |
| Part III | 232 | 46 | 186 | 69 | 117 | 37.10 |
| 50. Departmental Test for Members of the Staff of the Khadi and Village Industries Board— | | | | | | |
| I Paper | 50 | 15 | 35 | 17 | 18 | 48.57 |
| II Paper | 64 | 20 | 44 | 30 | 14 | 68.18 |
| 51. Third Class Language Test— | | | | | | |
| Tamil | 5 | 1 | 4 | .. | 4 | .. |
| Malayalam | 1 | 1 | .. | .. | .. | .. |

# APPENDIX —A2.

[*Vide* item No. (iii) under Section IV].

## ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS OF THE HALF-YEARLY EXAMINATIONS AND LANGUAGE TESTS FOR ASSISTANT COLLECTORS, POLICE OFFICERS AND FOREST OFFICERS, ETC., SEPTEMBER 1982.

| *Name of Test.* | *Number.* | | | | | *Percentage of passed to examined* |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | *Admitted.* | *Absent.* | *Examined.* | *Passed.* | *Failed.* | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| **LOWER STANDARD EXAMINATION FOR ASSISTANT COLLECTORS.** | | | | | | |
| Divison A— | | | | | | |
| First Class Language Test (Tamil) | 12 | .. | 12 | 12 | .. | 100 |
| First Class Language Test (Hindi) | 11 | .. | 11 | 11 | .. | 100 |
| Division B— | | | | | | |
| ( ) Indian Penal Code and Special and Local Criminal Laws. | 11 | .. | 11 | 11 | .. | 100 |
| (ii) Criminal Procedure Code | 10 | 1 (exempted) | 9 | 9 | .. | 100 |
| Division C— | | | | | | |
| Revenue | 10 | .. | 10 | 6 | 4 | 60 |
| Division D— | | | | | | |
| Law of Evidence | 10 | 1 (exempted) | 9 | 9 | .. | 100 |

## HIGHER STANDARD EXAMINATION FOR ASSISTANT COLLECTORS.

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Division A—** | | | | | | |
| First Class Language Test (Tamil) | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 66.66 |
| First Class Language Test (Hindi) | 2 | .. | 2 | 2 | | 100 |
| **Division B –** | | | | | | |
| Accounts | 11 | .. | 11 | 6 | 5 | 57.96 |
| **Division C –** | | | | | | |
| Revenue | 7 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 20 |
| **Division D –** | | | | | | |
| Survey and Land Records | 10 | .. | 10 | 8 | 2 | 80 |
| **Division E –** | | | | | | |
| Panchayats Acts, Rules and Orders | 12 | .. | 12 | 11 | 1 | 91.33 |

## EXAMINATION FOR POLICE OFFICERS.

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Test A—** | | | | | | |
| (i) Indian Penal Code and Special and Local and Criminal Laws. | 1 | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | 100 |
| (ii) Criminal Procedure Code | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| **Test B—** | | | | | | |
| Law of Evidence | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Test C—** | | | | | | |
| Medical Jurisprudence .. .. .. .. .. .. | 1 | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | 100 |
| Test D— | | | | | | |
| (i) Police Departmental Orders .. .. .. .. .. | 1 | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | 100 |
| (ii) Scientific Aids to Investigation .. .. .. .. | 1 | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | 100 |
| **Test E—** | | | | | | |
| First Class Language Test Lower (Tamil) .. .. .. | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | .. | 100 |
| First Class Language Test Lower (Hindi) .. .. .. | 2 | .. | 2 | 2 | .. | 100 |
| First Class Language Test Higher (Tamil) .. .. .. | 2 | .. | 2 | | 2 | .. |
| First Class Language Test Higher (Hindi) .. .. .. | 1 | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | 100 |

**EXAMINATION FOR INDIAN FOREST SERVICES AND TAMIL NADU FOREST SERVICES OFFICERS.**

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Division A— | | | | | | |
| Departmental Test on Forest Law .. .. .. .. | 8 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 83.33 |
| Division B– | | | | | | |
| Departmental Test on Forest Revenue .. .. .. | 16 | 5 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 72.05 |
| Division C— | | | | | | |
| Test on Departmental Code and Accounts .. .. .. | 8 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 83.33 |
| Division D— | | | | | | |
| Test on Fundameal Rules, State Service Rules .. .. | 9 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 29 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Divison E—** | | | | | | |
| First Class Language Test Tamil (Lower) .. .. .. | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 75% |
| Hindi .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | .. | 100% |
| First Class Language Test (Higher)— | | | | | | |
| Tamil .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Hindi .. .. .. .. .. .. ........ | 2 | .. | 2 | 2 | .. | 100% |

ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS OF THE HALF-YEARLY EXAMINATIONS AND LANGUAGE TESTS FOR ASSISTANT COLLECTORS, POLIICE OFFICERS AND FOREST OFFICERS' ETC., MARCH-1983.

**LOWER STANDARD EXAMINATION FOR ASSISTANT COLLECTORS**

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Division A—** | | | | | | |
| First Class Language Test (Tamil) .. .. .. .. | 2 | .. | 2 | . | 1 | 50% |
| First Class Language Test (Hindi) .. .. .. .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| **Division B—** | | | | | | |
| (i) Indian Penal Code and Special and Local Criminal Laws. | 1 | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | 100% |
| (ii) Criminal Procedure Code .. .. .. .. | 1 | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | 100 |
| **Division C—** | | | | | | |
| Revenue .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 4 | .. | 4 | 4 | .. | 100% |
| **Division D—** | | | | | | |
| Law of Evidence .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 1 | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | 100% |

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **HIGHER STANDARD EXAMINATION FOR ASSISTANT COLLECTORS** | | | | | | |
| Division A— | | | | | | |
| Birst Class Language Test (Tamil) .. .. .. .. | 7 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 16.66 |
| First Class Language Test (Hindi) .. .. .. .. | 5 | .. | 5 | 3 | 2 | 60% |
| Division B— | | | | | | |
| Accounts .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 6 | .. | 6 | 6 | .. | 100% |
| Division C— | | | | | | |
| Revenue .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 11 | .. | 11 | 6 | 5 | 54% |
| Division D— | | | | | | |
| Survey and Land Records .. .. .. .. .. | 2 | .. | 2 | 2 | .. | 100% |
| Division E— | | | | | | |
| Panchayats Acts, Rules and Orders .. .. .. | 1 | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | 100% |
| **EXAMINATION FOR POLICE OFFICERS.** | | | | | | |
| Test A— | | | | | | |
| (i) Indian Penal Code and Special and Local Criminal Laws. | 8 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| (ii) Criminal Procedure Code .. .. .. .. .. .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Test B— | | | | | | |
| Law of Evidence .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Test C—** | | | | | | |
| Medical Jurisprudence .. .. .. .. .. .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| **Test D—** | | | | | | |
| (i) Police Departmzetal Orders .. .. .. .. .. | 4 | .. | 4 | .. | 4 | .. |
| (ii) Scientific Aids to investigation .. .. .. .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| **Test E –** | | | | | | |
| First Clas Language Test Lower Standard— | | | | | | |
| Tamil .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 6 | .. | 6 | 5 | 1 | 83.33% |
| Hindi .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 4 | .. | 4 | 3 | 1 | 75% |
| **Test F—** | | | | | | |
| First Class Language Test —Higher Standard .. .. | | | | | | |
| Tamil .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 3 | .. | 3 | 3 | .. | 100% |
| Hindi .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 1 | .. | 1 | .. | 1 | .. |

**EXAMINATION FOR INDIAN FOREST SERVICES AND TAMIL NADU FOREST SERVICE OFFICERS**

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Division A— | | | | | | |
| Departmental Test on Forest Law .. .. .. .. | 6 | .. | 6 | 5 | 1 | 83.33% |
| Division B— | | | | | | |
| Departmental Test on Forest Revenue .. .. .. | 14 | 2 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 75% |
| Division C— | | | | | | |
| Test on Departmental Code and Accounts .. .. .. | 5 | .. | 5 | 5 | .. | 100% |

| (7) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Division D—** | | | | | | |
| Test on Fundamental Rules and State Service Rules, etc. .. | 9 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 50% |
| **Division E —** | | | | | | |
| First Class Language Test (Lower Standard) — | | | | | | |
| Tamil .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 4 | .. | 4 | 3 | 1 | 75% |
| Hindi .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 3 | .. | 3 | 3 | .. | 100 |
| First Class Language Test (Higher Standard) | | | | | | |
| Tamil .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 3 | .. | 3 | 2 | 1 | 66.66% |
| Hindi .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 1 | 1 | . | .. | .. | .. |

## APPENDIX-B

(*vide* Section VI of the report)

## EXTRACT FROM THE REPORT OF EXAMINERS.

### GROUP—I A Services

### GENERAL KNOWLEDGE,

The overall performance of the candidates was just above average.

### ESSAY IN ENGLISH.

The performance of the majority of the candidates was deplorably poor. There was neither relevant matter nor acceptance language.

### MATHEMATICS (MATRICULATION STANDARD)

Generally, the performance was good.

*Botany.*—Few students were upto the standard. Some students were not giving answers correct and some students did not know the basic ideas about the subject while answering some questions.

*Zoology.*—The performance of only a few students was below the standard, where as the performance of the others was satisfactory.

### MATHEMATICS (DEGREE STANDARD)

The General Performance of the candidates was not satisfactory.

*Chemistry.*—The performance of most of the candidates was extemely bad.

*Physics.*—The answers were not up to the standard.

*Geology.*—The performance of the candidate was statisfactory. The expressions were not precise and the answers were not complete.

*Mechanical Engineering.*—The performance was bad.

*Agriculture.*—The performance of the candidates was good.

*Statistics.*—In General, the performance was poor.

*Chemical Engineering.*—The knowledge of the candidate in Chemical Engineering was very good.

### (*b*) EXAMINATION GROUP II SERVICES—(BACHELOR'S DEGREE STANDARD) EXMAINATION.

*General English.*—The performance of the candidates was not satisfactory.

*General Knowledge.*—The general standard of performance was just average.

*English Language and Literature.*—The performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

*History of English Literature.*—The performance of the candidates was deplorably poor.

*Social History of English.*—The performance of the candidates was not satisfactory.

*Sanskrit Ancient Indian History and Culture.*—The performance of the candidates was above average.

*Arabic Language and Literature/History of Arabic Literature.*—The performance of the candidates was very poor.

*Tamil Language and Literature.*—The performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

*Tamil History of South India.*—The performance of the candidates was not satisfactory.

*Tamil and Culture.*—On the whole, performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

*History of Tamil Culture.*—The performance of the candidates was very poor.

*Telugu Language and Literature and History of Andhra Desa and Andhra Culture.*—The performance of the candidates was not satisfactory.

*Malayalam Language and Literature/History of Malayalam Literature/History of Kerala and Culture.*—The performance ofthe candidates was very low standard.

*Hindi Language and Literature/History of Hindu Literature and Indian History and Culture.*—The performance of the Candidates was not satisfactory.

*Indian History.*—The performance of the candidates in general was satisfactory.

*History of Europe.*—The performance was on the whole unsatisfactory.

*Constitutional History of England.*—The performance of the candidates was not satisfactory.

*Politics.*—General Performance was satisfactory.

*Economics.*—The performance of the candidates in general was fairly good.

*Mathematics Pure.*—The performance of the candidates was not quite satisfactory.

*Mathematics (Applied).*—The general performance of the candidates was very poor.

*Statistics.*—The general performance was not below the mark.

*Physics.*—The performance of the candidates was very poor.

*Chemistry.*—The general performance of the candidates was poor.

*Botany.*—The general performance was not upto the mark.

*Zoology.*—The performance of the candidates was poor.

*Geology.*—The general performance was average.

*Indian Penal Code.*—The standard was not upto expectation.

*Indian Constitutional Law.*—The performance was generally poor.

*Social Science.*—The general performance was far from satisfactory

*Agricultural Chemistry.*—The general performance of the candidates was far below satisfactory level.

*Accounts and Audit.*—On the whole, the performance was just average.

*Banking and Mercantile Law.*—The performance was not upto the satisfaction.

*Anthropology.*—The general performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

*Biology.*—The general performance is most of the cases was poor and far below the Bachelor's degree standard.

*Home Science.*—The general performance was average.

*Community Development.*—Few candidates who opted to write in Tamil have done well. The general performance was average.

*Co-operation.*—The performance on the whole is good.

*History of Civilisation.*—The performance on the whole was quite good.

*Business Organisation and Administration.*—The performance of the candidates in general was fair.

(*c*) GROUP III SERVICES EXAMINATION.

1. *English Composition.*—The performance of the candidates is far from satisfactory.

2. *General Knowledge.*—The performance of the students on the whole, was average.

3. *Language Translation and Composition.*—The performance of the candidates was average.

4. *Mathematics.*—The performance of the candidates was not satisfactory.

5. *Physics.*—The percentage of pass is very very poor.

6. *Chemistry.*—The performance of the candidates is generally poor.

7. *Natural Science.*—The general performance is not satisfactory.

8. *Indian History.*—The performance of the candidates was not satisfactory.

9. *World History.*—The performance of the candidates is not upto the mark.

10. *Geography.*—The over all average performance of the candidates is not upto the mark.

11. *General Science.*—In general, the performance of the candidates were good.

12. *Social Studies.*—The general performance of Examinees in the above examination is very good.

13. *Elements of Commerce.*— Generally, the performance of the candidates in this examination is fair.

14. *Elements of Economics.*—The general performance of the candidates is fairly good.

15. *Elements of Politics.*—The performance of the candidates was far from satisfactory.

16. *Logic.*—The performance of the candidates are generally satisfactory.

17. *Psychology.* —The performance of the candidates was highly satisfactory.

18. *Household Arts.*—In generally, the performance of the candidates was fairly good.

19. *Sociology.*—The performance of the candidates is just ranging from very poor to above average.

## EXAMINATION GROUP VI, SERVICES—FOREST APPRENTICE IN THE TAMIL NADU FOREST SUBORDINATE SERVICE—1982.

*English.*—The over all performance of the candidates was below average and not satisfactory.

*General Knowledge Paper I.*—The performance of the candidates in general was satisfactory.

*General knowledge Paper II.*—On the whole, the performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

*Mathematics, Paper I.*—The performance of the candidates on the whole was satisfactory.

*Mathematics, Paper II.*—The performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

*Mathematics (Optional).*—The performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

*Physics.*—The performance of the candidates was not upto the standard.

*Chemistry.*—The performance of the candidates was poor.

*Botany*.—The overall performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

*Zoology*.—The overall performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

*Geology*.—The performance of the candidates in general was good.

## GROUP VII SERVICES (BACHELOR'S DEGREE STANDARD) —1983.

*General Knowledge*.—On the whole, the general performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

*English Composition*.—In general the performance of the candidates was poor.

*Treatises on Saivam and Vaishnavam*.—இந்துமத இணைப்பு விளக்கம்.—The overall performance the candidates was not satisfatcory.

## GROUP VII SERVICES (S.S.L.C. STANDARD) EXAMINATION HELD IN 1983.

*English Composition*.—On the whole, the general performance of the candidates was average.

*General Knowledge*.—The General, performance of the candidates found to be good.

இந்துமத இணைப்பு விளக்கம்.—(Treatises on Saivam and Vaishnavam) The overall performance of the candidates was very poor.

## (g) EXTRACT OF THE REPORTS OF THE PERFORMANCE OF THE CANDIDATES TO THE POST OF ASSISTANT QURATOR (ANTHROPOLOGY SECTION).

The standard of the technical attainments of all the candidates as far as academic qualifications went, was above average while their performance at the oral test was just average. Most of the candidates were not specific about the type of work expected of them in a museum.

## (*h*) EXTRACT OF THE REPORTS ON THE PERFORMANCE OF THE CANDIDATES TO THE POST OF INSTRUCTOR IN THE INSTITUTE OF CERAMIC TECHNOLOGY, VIRUDHACHALAM, IN THE TAMIL NADU INDUSTRIES SUBORDINATE SERVICE.

The technical knowledge of the candidates on the academic and practical side of ceramics is rather low.

Summary of the reports on the performance of the candidates to the post of Dietician Examination held in 1982.

*General Knowledge.*—The general performance in total was satisfactory.

*Dietitics.*—The performance was below average.

*Summary.*

The performance of the candidates in General Knowledge was satisfactory. The performance of the candidates in the subject 'Dietitics was below average.

## SPECIAL TEST EXAMINATIONS, MAY 1982.

*Extracts from the reports of Examiners.*

### 1. THE ACCOUNT TEST FOR EXECUTIVE OFFICERS:

The performance of the candidates was fairly satisfactory. Most of the candidates had not worked out correctly the problem on pension.

### 2. THE REVENUE TEST, PART I—FIRST PAPER.

The performance of the candidates was not satisfactory.

### 3. THE CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUDICIAL TEST—PART I.

The performance of the candidates was not satisfactory.

### 4. THE REVENUE TEST, PART I—SECOND PAPER.

The performance of the candidates was not satisfactory. Many of the candidates had resorted to mechanical reproduction. They had taken the examination rather easily and did not bother to make even elementary preparation.

5. THE CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUDICIAL TEST, PART II.

The performance of the candidates was fairly good.

6. THE CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUDICIAL TEST, PART III.

The performance of the candidates was generally satisfactory.

7. THE REVENUE TEST, PART III.

The General performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

8 THE ACCOUNT TEST FOR HIGHWAYS AND RURAL WORKS DEPARTMENT OFFICERS AND SUBORDINATES FIRST PAPER.

The performance of the candidates had been generally fair.

SECOND PAPER.

The performance of the candidates had been satisfactory.

. THE CRIMINAL JUDICIAL TEST, PART I—THE INDIAN PENAL CODE (GENERAL PRINCIPLES).

The performance of the candidates was not satisfactory. Most of the candidates had not mentioned the question number or sub divisions thereof correctly.

THE INDIAN PENAL CODE (DETAILED APPLICATION).

The performance of the candidates was not appreciable. Some of the candidates appeared to have taken the test to bevery casual.

10. THE JAIL TES, TPART I AND II.

The General performance of the candidates was good.

11. CRIMINAL JUDICIAL TEST, PART II. THE CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE (GENERAL PRINCIPLES).

The general performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

THE CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE (DETAILED APPLICATION)

The performance of the candidates was good.

## 12. THE ACCOUNT TEST FOR P.W.D. OFFICERS AND SUBORDINATES.

The general performance of the candidates was satisfactory in the first paper; but was poor in the Second paper.

## 13. THE ACCOUNT TEST FOR SUBORDINATE OFFICERS, PART I.

The general performance of the candidates was satisfactory. Most of the candidates answered the theory questions well. Their performance in answering problems especially questions on Travelling Allowance and leave Account was not up to the mark. Candidates evidently had no up to date corrected reference books which resulted in referring still to the obsolete or old rules which have been long ago amended or deleted. Wrong numbering of questions and non-furnishing of sub-divisions were some of the defects noticed.

## 14. THE AGRICULTURAL DEPARTMENT TEST FOR MEMBERS OF THE TAMIL NADU MINISTERIAL SERVICE IN THE AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT.

The general performance of the candidates was satisfactory. The answers had been copied verbaiions from the test.

## 15. TRANSLATION TEST.

(i) *Tamil.*—The performance of the candidates was satisfactory Few candidates were not able to write in Tamil.

(ii) *Hindi.*—Translation from Hindi to English was average, but from English to Hindi, it was poor.

(iii) *Telugu.*—The general performance of the candidates was sa.is factory.

## 16. ACCOUNT TEST FOR SUBORDINATE OFFICERS PART II.

The general performance of the candidates was generally good. The answers written by few candidates showed that they had a good grasp of the subject matter. Many candidates had done the problems well But in respect of commentry, where application of rules was involved. the questions have not been answered properly.

17. DISTRICT OFFICE MANUAL TEST.

The general performance of the candidates was satisfactory. While in some cases the performace was excellent. Many candidates tended to copy word by word from the book rather than use their own words. Many candidates were not above to quote the correct paragraphs.

**SPECIAL TEST EXAMINATIONS—NOVEMBER 1982.**

*Extract from the reports of Examiners.*

THE ACCOUNT TEST FOR EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The General performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

THE REVENUE TEST, PART I— FIRST PAPER.

The General performance of the candidates was not satisfactory. Some of the defects noticedwere that ans wers to questions were writen mostly not to the point and that no attempt had been made to understand the questions and answer them appropriately and intelligently.

THE CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUDICIAL TEST, PART I.

The performance of the Candidates in general was satisfactory.

THE REVENUE TEST, PART I—SECOND PAPER.

The performance of the candidates was not satisfactory.

THE CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUDICIAL TEST, PART II.

The performance of the candidates was poor.

THE REVENUE TEST, PART II.

The General performance of the candidates was fairly satisfactory. It was found that the candidates had not been able to express themselves in English well.

THE CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUDICIAL TEST, PART III.

The candidates had answered the questions fairly well.

THE REVENUE TEST, PART III.

The performance of the candidates was average. Some of the candidates had not properly understand the questions.

## THE CRIMINAL JUDICIAL TEST, PART III.

The performance of the candidates was satisfactory. Most of the candidates had simply copied from the text book.

## THE ACCOUNT TEST FOR HIGHWAYS AND RURAL WORKS DEPARTMENT OFFICERS AND SUBORDINATES.

*First Paper.*—The performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

*Second Paper.*—The performance of the candidates was far from satisfactory. It was perhaps due to lack of preparation or non-availability of code books and manuals.

## THE CRIMINAL JUDICIAL TEST, PART I—THE INDIAN PENAL CODE (GENERAL PRINCIPLES).

The performance of the candidates was average.

## THE JAIL TEST, PART I.

*The Indian Penal Code.*—The performance of the candidates was poor.

## THE CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE.

The performance of the candidates was good.

## THE LAWS, RULES, REGULATIONS AND ORDERS RELATING TO JAIL MANAGEMENT.

The performance of the candidates was good.

## THE CRIMINAL JUDICIAL TEST, PART II.

*The Code of Criminal Procedure—General Principles.*—

The general performance of the candidates was good. On the whole most of the candidates had taken this examination in a right earnest manner.

## THE CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE—DETAILED APPLICATION

The performance of the candidates was generally quite good.

## THE ACCOUNT TEST FOR PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT OFFICERS AND SUBORDINATES.

*First paper.*—The general performance of the candidates was satisfactory, lack of proper preparation by some of the candidates was noticed.

*Second Paper.*—The performance of the candidates in general was satisfactory.

## THE ACCOUNT TEST FOR SUBORDINATE OFFICERS, PART I.

The performance of the candidates was generally satisfactory. Their performance in answering the problems specially the problems on Travelling Allowance and leave account was not upto the mark. Lengthy answers were given even for short questions.

## THE AGRICULTURAL DEPARTMENT TEST FOR MEMBERS OF THE TAMIL NADU MINISTERIAL SERVICE IN THE AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT.

The performance of the candidates was generally satisfactory.

## THE AGRICULTURAL DEPARTMENT TEST FOR THE TECHNICAL STAFF IN THE AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT.

The performance of the candidates indicated that only about 50 per cent of the candidates had prepared for the examination well. Non-furnishing of question numbers, illegible writing or scribbling to fill up the pages and writing of irrelevant answers were some of the defects noticed.

## THE JAIL TEST, PART I.

The performance of the candidates was very good.

## THE TRANSLATION TEST.

*Hindi.*—The performance of the candidates was not upto the mark.

*Malayalam.*—The performance of the candidates was average or below average.

*Tamil.*—Some candidates grasped the meaning of the contents of the question paper and translated well. Whereas some candidates who were not able to understand the meaning of the contents of the question paper had failed miserably. Grammatical errors were too many.

THE ACCOUNT TEST FOR SUBORDINATE OFFICERS, PART II

The performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

DISTRICT OFFICE MANUAL TEST.

The general perfomance of the candidates was satisfactory. Many candidates had copied verbating from text books Some of the candidates were not able to understand the questions and give the correct answer.

**DEPARTMENTAL TEST EXAMINATIONS, MAY 1982.**

SECOND CLASS LANGUAGE TEST IN TAMIL, PART A—WRITTEN EXAMINATION.

*Examiner* I.—Most of the candidates understood the English passage But candidates problem is to find exact Tamil words and express properly.

*Examiner* II.—Most of the candidates understood the passage. But when translating it into Tamil, they have committed many spelling mistakes.

DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR SUBORDINATES OFFICERS IN THE TAMIL NADU TREASURY AND ACCOUNTS DEPARTMENT.

Overall performance is average

DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR OFFICERS OF THE PANCHAYAT DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT—MANUAL OF VILLAGE LEVEL WORKERS, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT MANUAL AND A GUIDE TO COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT.

The performance has been generally satisfactory.

VILLAGE SWARAJ.

The overall performance of the candidates is satisfactory.

REGISTRATION DEPARTMENT TEST—MAY 1982—GROUP I—THE REGISTRATION ACT, RULES, REGULATIONS, ETC.

The performance of the candidates was in generally poor.

GROUP II—THE MADRAS REGISTRATION MANUAL. PART II—CIRCULARS AND ORDERS.

The performance of candidates are generally satisfactory.

## GROUP III—MISCELLANEOUS ACTS.

On the whole the general performance of all the candidates are not satisfactory.

## DEPARTMENTAL TESTS IN COMMERCIAL TAXES ACTS.

*Part* I.—In general the performance of the candidates is fairly good.

*Part II.*—The general performance is very poor.

*Part III.*—The performance of the candidates is satisfactory.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR OFFICERS OF THE CO-OPERATIVE DEPARTMENT—CO-OPERATION.

*I Paper.*—The performance of the candidates is generally satisfactory

### AUDITING I PAPER:

Generally the performance of the candidates is poor.

### AUDITING II PAPER :

The over all performance is satisfactory.

### BANKING :

On the whole the performance is satisfactory.

### BOOK-KEEPING:

The candidates have exhibited a fair knowledge in answering the questions.

## PANCHAYAT DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNT TEST:

### CHIEF EXAMINER.

The main reason for failure of most of the candidates is due to lack of proper understanding of relevant rules and regulations.

### ADDITIONAL EXAMINER:

The performance of the candidates is satisfactory.

## FISHERIES DEPARTMENT TEST II—PART C

In general the performance was better in the practical paper than in the theory paper.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST ON MOTOR VEHICLES RULES AND REGULATIONS.

Candidates did not answer to the point required, but narrate so many irrelevant matter. The performance of the candidate was very poor.

## SPECIAL LANGUAGE TEST FOR OFFICERS OF THE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT.

Candidates did not appear to have worked hard for the Test.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR TAMIL NADU WAKF BOARD.

Though the general performance of the candidate was satisfactory. The candidates had not got an accurate idea about the scope and concept of the Wakf Act.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR OFFICERS OF THE NATIONAL EMPLOYMENT SERVICE.

The performance of the candidates was by and large average.

## FISHERIES DEPARTMENT TEST II—PART A

The performance of the candidates was generally satisfactory.

## FISHERIES DEPARTMENT TEST II—PART B.

The performance of the candidates in this examination was generally veyoor in spite of the training given to them at the Fisheries Staff Training Institute.

## SUBORDINATE ACCOUNTS SERVICE EXAMINATION, PART I (*b*).

The performance of the candidates was generally satisfactory.

## SUBORDINATE ACCOUNTS SERVICE EXAMINATION, PART II (*a*).

The performance of the candidates was generally satisfactory.

SUBORDINATE ACCOUNTS SERVICE EXAMINATION, PART II (THEORETICAL).

The performance was satisfactory.

SUBORDINATE ACCOUNTS SERVICE EXAMINATION, PART II (*c*).

The performance of the candidates revealed that the candidates had not prepared adequatley for the Test.

SUBORDINATE ACCOUNTS SERVICE EXAMINATION PART I (A).

The performance of the candidates was generally not upto the standard.

SURVEY DEPARTMENT TEST—REVENUE DRAFTSMANS TEST

The performance of the candidates was generally satisfactory.

SURVEY DEPARTMENT TEST—FIELD SURVEYOR'S TEST.

The candidates had not evidently prepared for the examination. The result was not bad.

HEAD SURVEYOR'S AND SUB-ASSISTANT'S TEST.

The performance of the candidates had gone down and was not at all Satisfactory. The answers of many candidates simply revealed that they had appeared for the test without adequate preparation.

COMPUTATION TEST—

The performance was not at all upto the mark but poor, due to the lack of understanding of the subject. Though the candidates were good at original work doing mechanically their performance was very poor when the same had to be tackled by way of answers in the examination.

SURVEY DEPARTMENT TEST.

COMPUTATION TEST (ENGLISH AND TAMIL)

The performance of the candidates was generally below the standard.

FISHERIES DEPARTMENT TEST I.

Generally the performance was satisfactory.

## HINDU RELIGIOUS AND CHARITABLE ENDOWMENTS (ADMN.) DEPARTMENT TEST.

The candidates had fairly answered the papers.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR TAMIL NADU MINISTERIAL SERVICE IN NATIONAL EMPLOYMENT SERVICE.

The performance of the candidates revealed the poor standard of preparation by them for the test.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST ON MOTOR VEHICLES RULES AND REGULATIONS.

In general the performance of the candidates was very poor.

# FOREST DEPARTMENT TEST

## THE TAMIL NADU FOREST DEPARTMENT CODE AND MADRAS ACCOUNT CODE, VOLUME III—PART III.

The performance of the candidates was quite satisfactory.

(i) Standing Orders of the Board of Revenue and Service Rules.

(ii) Forest Law—I Paper.

(iii) Standing Orders of Board of Revenue

The performance of the candidates in all the papers was quite satisfactory.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR JUNIOR ASSISTANTS IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

The performance on the whole by the examinees was generally satisfactory.

## LOCAL FUND AUDIT DEPARTMENT TEST—LOCAL ACTS AND RULES FRAMED THEREUNDER.

The performance revealed that there was no objective study of the subjects by examinees and that they lacked first hand knowledge of the Acts and Rules.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR FOREST SUBORDINATE SERVICE OFFICERS—OFFICE PROCEDURE AND ACCOUNTS

The candidates did not have the faintest idea of basic things in forest management. They lacked preparation and seriousness for the examination.

## FOREST DEPARTMENT TEST—TAMIL NADU FOREST DEPARTMENT CODE AND ON ACCOUNT—MADRAS ACCOUNT CODE.

(ii) Standing Orders of the Board of Revenue and Service Rules.

The performance was quite satisfactory.

## ELECTRICITY DEPARTMENT ACCOUNT TEST—I PAPER.

The general performance of the candidates was fairly satisfactory.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR ACCOUNTS OFFICERS IN THE TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY BOARD.

The General performance was satisfactory.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR GRAMASEVAKS—GRADES I AND II AND GRAMASEVIKAS—MANUAL OF VILLAGE LEVEL WORKERS AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT MANUAL.

Though a majority passed the test, spelling mistakes, incomplete sentences and the failure to quote the order correctly were common features.

### VILLAGE SWARAJ

In general the performance of the candidates was not good they seem to have appeared for the examination without adequate preparation.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR SUPERVISORS OF INDUSTRIAL CO-OPERATIVES IN THE INDUSTRIES DEPARTMENT.

### (C) INDUSTRIAL CO-OPERATION

Most of the candidates did not have knowledge of what was going on around on the developmental activities of the Government and the programmes etc. A few candidates did exceptionally well.

## LOCAL FUND AUDIT DEPARTMENT TEST:

## COMMERCIAL BOOK KEEPING.

On the whole, the general performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

## ANIMAL HUSBANDRY DEPARTMENT TEST :

The general performance of the candidates was good.

## DEPUTY INSPECTOR'S TEST, PAPER II

The performance of the candidates was fairly satisfactory.

## DEPUTY INSPECTOR'S TEST—PAPER III

In general the performance of the candidates was good.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR APPOINTMENT AS PAY AND ACCOUNTS OFFICERS IN THE ACCOUNTS WING OF THE TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY BOARD—I PAPER

The performance of the candidates was generally good.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST IN THE TAMIL NADU SERVICES MANUAL VOLUME I FOR THE STAFF OF THE TAMIL NADU PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION.

The performance was not sstisfactory.

## ADVANCES LANGUAGE TEST FOR OFFICERS OF THE TAMIL NADU EDUCATIONAL SUBORDINATE SERVICES I AND II PAPERS.

The general performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST—NOVEMBER 1982.

## ELECTRICITY DEPARTMENT ACCOUNT TEST—I PAPER

The performance of the candidates was good.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR ACCOUNTS OFFICERS IN THE TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY BOARD—I AND II PAPERS.

The performance of the candidates on the whole was satisfactory.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR GRAMASEVAKS GRADE—I AND II AND GRAMASEVIKAS—MANUAL OF VILLAGE LEVEL WORKERS AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT MANUAL.

The performance of the candidates was very unsatisfactory.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR SUPERVISORS OF THE INDUSTRIAL CO-OPERATIVES IN THE INDUSTRIES DEPARTMENT . CO-OPERATIVE ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT INCLUDING BOOK-KEEPING AND SECRETARIAL PRACTICE

Many candidates did not seem to have taken pain or efforts for the examination but appear to have taken the test in the light manner.

## INDUSTRIAL CO-OPERATION.

The performance of the most of the candidates was far from satis factory.

## LOCAL FUND AUDIT DEPARTMENT COMMERCIAL BOOK KEEPING.

The poor performance of the candidates reflected the lack of earnestness to study and understand the subject and the casual approach to the examination without adequate or any preparation.

## ANIMAL HUSBANDRY DEPARTMENT TEST.

The performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

## DEPUTY INSPECTOR'S TEST—FIRST PAPER

Though the performance of the some of the candidates was satisfac ory that of others revealed the lack of clear knowledge of the matter.

## DEPUTY INSPECTOR'S TEST—SECOND PAPER.

Though the performance of the some of the candidates was satisfactory this faced poor due to lack of preparation.

## DEPUTY INSPECTOR'S T—ESTEDUCATIONAL STATISTICS

The general performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR APPOINTMENT AS PAY AND ACCOUNTS OFFICERS IN THE ACCOUNTS WING OF THE TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY BOARD I AND II PAPERS

The performance was satisfactory in the I paper and very poor in II paper. Which was due to the inability to understand the questions and consequential mechanical copying from books.

## ADVANCED LANGUAGE TEST FOR OFFICERS OF THE TAMIL NADU EDUCATIONAL SUBORDINATE SERVICES IN TAMIL.

On the whole, the general performance of the candidates was satis). factory.

## SECOND CLASS LANGUAGE TEST IN TAMIL—PART A WRITTEN EXAMINATION.

Examiner I : The performance of the candidates in general is good.

Examiner II : Most of the candidates have understood the passage But when translating it, they have committed spelling mistakes.

Examiner III : Spelling and grammatical mistake are more in many cases.

## THE DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR OFFICERS OF THE PANCHAYAT DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT (a) MANUAL OF VILLAGE LEVEL WORKERS, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT MANUAL AND A GUIDE TO COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT.

The performance of the candidates is fairly satisfactory.

(b) Village Swaraj

Examiner I : The performance of some of the candidates is satisfactory.

Examiner II : Generally—the standard is 'Very Poor'.

(c) The Tamil Nadu Panchayats Act, 1958 and the rules and orders issued thereunder.

Examiner I : The overall performance of the candidates is satisfactory.

Examiner II : The overall perfosmance of the candidates is satisfactory.

## PANCHAYAT DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNT TEST.

Examiner I : The performance of the candidates is poor.

Examiner II : The performance of the candidates is not very satisfactory.

Examiner III : The poor percentage of pass is due to ignorance of rules.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR OFFICERS OF THE CO-OPERATIVE DEPARTMENT :

Co-operation—I Paper:

Overall performance is satisfactory.

Auditing—I Paper :

Candidates knowledge are practical experience of audit of company accounts is poor.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR THE MEMBERS OF THE STAFF OF THE TAMIL NADU KHADI AND VILLAGE INDUSTRIES BOARD—

Paper (a)—On Gandhiji's collected Works, Volume V (Tamil).

The performance of the candidates is not satisfactory.

Paper (b)—On the Handbook of Pattern of Financial Assistance by the Khadi and Village Industries Commission:

The performance of the candidates is satisfactory.

## DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR SUBORDINATE OFFICERS IN THE TREASURIES AND ACCOUNTS DEPARTMENT.

Many candidates have failed as they do not seem to have taken pains to go through the prescribed books.

## REGISTRATION DEPARTMENT TEST

GROUP I—The Registration Act, Rules, Regulations, ete.

The performance of the candidates is poor.

GROUP II—Stamp Act and Rules thereunder.

The large number of failures is directly attributed to their failure to acquire the basic knowledge of the stamp law.

GROUP III—Miscellaneous Acts :

The general performance of the candidates is very poor.

FIELD SURVEYOR'S TEST—

Many candidates had not studied the subject well. Raw hands without any previous experience had taken the test.

TAMIL NADU WAKF BOARD DEPARTMENT TEST—

Verbation copying from books, irrelevant answers and grammatical mistakes were prevalent.

DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR MEMBERS OF THE TAMIL NADU MINISTERIAL SERVICE IN THE NATIONAL EMPLOYMENT SERVICE—

Most of the candidates did not appear to have prepared themselves even to get the minimum pass marks.

DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR JUNIOR ASSISTANTS IN THE OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR—GENERAL AND OFFICIAL TRUSTEE—

Candidates who had appeared for the examination had no idea that there were two Acts (1) Official Trustees' Act and (2) Administrator General's Act.

DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR OFFICERS OF THE PROBATION BRANCH OF THE JAIL DEPARTMENT.

The only candidate was not able to grasp the question properly and give appropriate answer.

SUBORDINATE ACCOUNTS SERVICE EXAMINATIONS Part I (a)

Local Acts and Rules framed thereunder (Practical)

Though the performance was satisfactory, the 33.8 percent pass was due to the individuals not going through the prescribed books.

SUBORIDINATE ACCOUNTS SERVICE—PARTI (*b*).—Fundamental Rules Pension Code and the Tamil Nadu Travelling Allowance Rules (Practical):

There was lack of proper under-standing of the questions. The answers were lengthy and not to the appoint.

SUBORDINATE ACCOUNTS SERVICE—PART II (*a*)—Accounts and Audit of Local Bodies (Theoretical):

The answers revealed that better preparation was needed on the part of the candidates taking the examination.

SUBORDINATE ACCOUNTS SERVICE EXAMINATION—Part II (*b*)—Accounts and Audit of Local Bodies (Practical).

Generally the performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

SUBORINATE ACCOUNTS SERVICE EXAMINATION—PART II (c)—Accounts and Audit of State Trading Schemes (Practical).

The general performance of the candidates was satisfactory.

SURVEY DEPARTMENT TEST—REVENUE DRAUGHTSMAN TEST—

In general the performance of the candidates in Map drawing and Map plotting was not satisfactory.

FOREST DEPARTMENT TEST FOR FOREST SUBORDINATE SERVICE OFFICERS—OFFICE PROCEDURE AND ACCOUNTS—

No candidate answered the question on problem satisfactorily.

DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE AND D.O.M. for MOTOR VEHICLES INSPECTOR.

Most of the candidates had not made any preparation and their standard was below average.

Forest Subordinate Service Officers Forest Law I—Paper—

The performance of the candidates in general was quite satisfactory.

FOREST DEPARTMENT TEST—THE MADRAS FOREST DEPARTMENT CODE AND THE MADRAS ACCOUNT CODE VOLUME III PART III (WITH BOOKS AND WITHOUT BOOKS) AND THE STANDING ORDERS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE AND THE SERVICE RULES.

The performance of the candidates in both the papers was quite satisfactory.

MADRAS MEDICAL CODE—

In general the candidates had mechanically answered the question without proper preparation.

DEPARTMENTAL TEST FOR JUNIOR ASSISTANTS IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT—

By and large the performance of the candidates could not be said to be up to the mark. They had poor grasping.

LABOUR AND FACTORIES DEPARTMENT TEST—PART A.

The method of answers written by the candidates and their approach to the questions were satisfactory and the morale of the candidates had also been satisfactory.

**PART B**: The performance of the candidates was generally **poor.**

129—19—6A

## APPENDIX 'C'.

[*Vide* item No. A (i) under Section V.]

### STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF FISHERIES IN THE TAMIL NADU FISHERIES SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised—1.

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribe.. | |
| Backward Classes | |
| Open Competition | 1 |

| | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | 3 | 61 | 37 | 101 |
| 2. Number of applications admitted to the Oral test. | 2 | 39 | 29 | 70 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | 1 | 29 | 22 | 52 |
| . Number recruited .. .. .. | .. | 1* | .. | 1 |

5. Qualifications of the candidate recruited—

M.Sc. (Marine Biology.)

* Candidate belonging to a Backward Class got selected against the Open competition turn on the basis of merit.

## STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS SPEECH AND HEARING SPECIALIST, INSTITUTE FOR REHABILITATION OF SPEECH AND HEARING HANDICAPPED, MADRAS IN THE TAMIL NADU MEDICAL SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised: —2.

The rule of reservation of appointments does not apply to this recruitment.

| | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | .. | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| 2. Number of applicants admitted to oral test. | .. | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | .. | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. . | .. | 1 | 1 | 2 |

5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited—

M.Sc. (Speech and Hearing) with electronics as elective subject—2.

# STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS SENIOR ACCOUNTS OFFICER IN THE TAMIL NADU STATE TREASURY AND ACCOUNTS SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised: —6

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes | 1 |
| Backward Classes .. .. .. | 3 |
| Open Competition .. .. .. | 2 |

| | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | 1 | 4 | 2 | 7 |
| 2. Number of applicants qualified .. | .. | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | .. | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | .. | 2@ | 2 | 4* |
| 5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited— | | | | |
| B.Com. and pass in the final examination conducted by the Institute of Chartered Accountants. .. .. | 1 | | | |
| B.Sc. and a pass in the final examination conducted by the Institute of Chartered Accountants. .. .. | 3 | | | |

* No qualified candidate belonging to the Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes, Scheduled is avilable for selection against the one vacancy reserved for Castes/ Scheduled Tribes.The one vacancy has been carried forward to the next recruitment.

@ Only two qualified candiates belonging to the Backward Classes were available for selcetion against the three vacancies reserved for them.

## STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS HEALTH OFFICER IN THE TAMIL NADU PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised :—30*

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes | 10 |
| Backward Classes .. .. .. | 27 |
| Open Competition .. .. .. | 18 |
| Total | 55 |

Subsequently the number of vacancies has been increased to 55.

| | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | 64 | 128 | 21 | 213 |
| 2. Number of applicants admitted to oral test. | 60 | 104 | 18 | 182 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | 39 | 77 | 11 | 127 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | 10 | 40@ | 5 | 55 |

5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited—

B.Sc., M.B.B.S. . . 6
M.B.B.S., D.M.R.T. . 1
M.B.B.S. . . 48

@ 13 Candidates belonging to Backward Classes got selected against the Open Competition turn on the basis of merit.

# STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMEN AS SPEECH THERAPIST IN GOVERNMENT MEDICAL INSTITUTIONT IN THE TAMIL NADU MEDICA I SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised ;—6.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes | | 1 |
| Backward Classes | .. .. .. | 2 |
| Open Competition | .. .. .. | 3 |

| | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | .. | 3 | 8 | 11 |
| 2. Number of applicants qualified . | .. | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | .. | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | .. | 2* | 2 | 4@ |

5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited :—

M.Sc. (Speech and Hearing)..3.
B.Sc. (Speech and Hearing)..1

* One candidate belonging to the Backward Classes got selected against 'O.C.' turn on the basis of merit. One candidate belonging to Backward Class could not be selected due to non-availability of qualified B.C. candidate.

@ The one vacancy reserved for Scheduled Caste/Scheduled Tribe has been carried forward to the next recruitment.

# STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS TECHNICAL OFFICER IN TNE POLICE RADIO BRANCH IN THE TAMIL NADU GENERAL SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised ;— 2

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes | 1 |
| Backward Classes .. .. .. | .. |
| Open Competition .. .. .. | 1 |
| Total | 2 |

| | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | 2 | 9 | 8 | 19 |
| 2. Number of applicants admitted to the oral test. | 1 | 6 | 4 | 11 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | .. | .. | 1 | 1@ |

5. Qualifications of the candidate recruited—

S S.L.C., A.M.I.E. (Electrical) and Communication Engineering and Diploma in Electronics/Radio/Communication Engieering of the I.A.F.

@ No candidate was available for selection against one vacancy reserved for S.C./ S.T.

# STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS ASSISTANT MEDICAL OFFICER IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDIAN MEDICINE IN THE TAMIL NADU MEDICAL SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advterised ;—54.
Subsequently increased to—109.

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes | 20 |
| Backward Classes .. .. .. | 54 |
| Open Competition .. .. .. | 35 |

| | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | 11 | 115 | 43 | 169 |
| 2. Number of applicants admitted to the oral test. | 7 | 101 | 17 | 125 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | 5 | 91 | 17 | 113 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | 5* | 73@ | 16 | 94 |

5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited—

| | |
|---|---|
| M.D.—Siddha .. .. .. | 3 |
| B.A.B.I.M. Siddha .. | 3 |
| B.Sc., B.I.M. Siddha .. .. | 7 |
| B.I.M. Siddha .. .. .. | 76 |
| L.I.M.—Ayurveda .. .. | 3 |
| B.U.M.M.S.—Unani .. .. | 1 |
| Tabeeb-e-Kanil title course in Unani. .. .. | 1 |

*15 vacancies reserved for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes have been carried forward to the next recruitment.

@ 19 candidates belonging to the Backward Classes selected against Open Competition turn on the basis of merit.

# STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS AUTOMOBILE ENGINEER IN THE MOTOR VEHICLES MAINTENANCE ORGANISATION IN THE TAMIL NADU GENERAL SERVICE.

| Number of vacancies advertised: 4<br>Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes—1<br>Backward Classes—1<br>Open Competition—2 | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | 3 | 33 | 14 | 50 |
| 2. Number of applicants admitted to the oral test. | 2 | 10 | 2 | 14 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | Nil. | 8 | 1 | 9 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | .. | 2* | 1 | 3@ |

5. Qualification of the candidates recruited—

| | |
|---|---|
| Post Diploma in Automobile Engineering. | 1 |
| F.M.T. Trade Certificate of I.A.F. | 1 |
| B.Sc., D.M.I.T. .. .. | 1 |
| | 3 |

* One candidate belonging to Backward Class selected against "Open Competition" turn on the basis of merit.

@ No candidate was available for selection against the one vacancy reserved for S.Cs./S.Ts.

STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS SECURITY OFFICER IN THE OFFICE OF THE TAMIL NADU PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, MADRAS.

Number of vacancies advertised—2.

Rule of reservation does not apply to this recruitment.

| | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | 1 | 3 | 3 | 7 |
| 2. Number of applicants admitted to the oral test. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | 1 | .. | 1 | 2 |
| 5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited— | | | | |
| Intermediate (National Defence Academy). | 1 | | | |
| Pre-University Course .. | 1 | | | |

STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR SPECIAL RECRUITMENT FOR REGULARISATION OF SERVICES OF PERSONS EMPLOYED TEMPORARILY AS ASSISTANT ENGINEER (MECHANICAL) IN THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

| | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | 1 | 22 | 11 | 34 |
| 2. Number of applicants admitted to the oral test. | .. | 18 | 11 | 29 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | .. | 17 | 11 | 28 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | .. | 17 | 11 | 28 |
| 5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited— | | | | |
| B.E. (Mechanical) .. .. | 27 | | | |
| B.Sc., Engineering (Mechanical) | 1 | | | |

STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS VETERINARY ASSISTANT SURGEON IN THE TAMIL NADU ANIMAL HUSBANDRY SERVICE.

Number of vacanies advertised—149

Subsequently raised to 617

Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.. 122*

Backward Classes .. .. .. 302

Open Competition .. .. .. 193

* (including 12 carried forward vacancies)

| | *Scheduled castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | 35 | 181 | 48 | 264 |
| 2. Number of applicants admitted to the oral test. | 34 | 148 | 46 | 228 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | 32 | 140 | 44 | 216 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | 32 | 134@ | 42 | 208* |

5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited—

| | |
|---|---|
| M.V.Sc. .. .. .. .. | 1 |
| B.Sc., B.V.Sc. .. .. .. | 4 |
| B.V.Sc. .. .. .. .. | 203 |

@ Includes Twenty seven candidates belonging to Backward Classes got selected against Open Competition turn on the basis of merit.

* The remaining vacancies could not be filled up due to non-availability of qualified B.C./OC candidate.

## STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS JUNIOR ELECTRICAL INSPECTOR IN THE TAMIL NADU ELECTRICAL INSPECTORATE SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised: 5

Rule of reservation of appointments does not apply to this recruitment.

| | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | 1 | 47 | 6 | 54 |
| 2. Number of applicants qualified .. | 1 | 38 | 6 | 45 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | 1 | 29 | 3 | 33 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | *Nil.* | 5 | *Nil.* | 5 |

5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited

B.E. .. .. .. .. (Electrical Electronics) 5

## STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS RESEARCH OFFICER IN THE RESEARCH WING IN THE OFFICE OF THE TAMIL NADU PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, MADRAS.

Number of vacancies advertised: 1

Rule of reservation does not apply to this recruitment.

| | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | 1 | 9 | 4 | 14 |
| 2. Number of applicants qualified .. | .. | 1 | .. | 1 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | .. | 1 | .. | 1 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | .. | 1 | .. | 1 |

5. Qualified of the candidates recruited—

M.Sc. (Statistics)

# STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS ASSISTANT ENGINEER (CIVIL) IN THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT IN THE TAMIL NADU ENGINEER SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised—492

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes.. | 122 |
| Backward Classes .. .. .. | 226 |
| Open Competition .. .. .. | 144 |

| | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Clssses.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Number of applications received .. | 35 | 164 | 60 | 259 |
| 2. Number of applicants qualified .. | 29 | 138 | 49 | 216 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | 21 | 111 | 38 | 170 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | 21 | 111* | 33 | 165* |

5. Qualification of the candidates recruited—

| | |
|---|---|
| B.E. (Civil) .. .. .. | 154 |
| B.Tech. (Civil) .. .. .. | 1 |
| B.E. (Hons) Civil .. .. | 7 |
| B.E. (Civil) .. .. <br> M.E. (Urban) .. .. | 1 |
| A.M.I.E. (Civil) .. .. | 2 |

Twenty three candidates belonging to Backward Classes selected against Open competition turn on the basis of merit.

Thirty-one vacancies reserved from Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes have been carried forward to the next recruitment due to non-availability of candidates belonging to Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes.

* The remaining vacancies could not be filled up due to non-availability of qualified candidates.

STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS DIETICIAN IN THE GOVERNMENT HOSPITALS IN THE TAMIL NADU MEDICAL SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised: One.

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes | .. |
| Backward Classes .. .. .. | .. |
| Open Competition .. .. .. | 1 |

| | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | .. | 32 | 18 | 50 |
| 2. Number of applicants qualified .. | .. | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | .. | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | .. | .. | 1 | 1 |

5. Qualifications of the candidate recruited—

B.Sc. (Nutrition and Dietics)

Diploma in Nutrition and Hospital Dietics.

# STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS ASSISTANT ENGINEER (ELECTRICAL) IN THE TAMIL NADU PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Number of vacancies advertised.— 57.

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes | 18 |
| Backward Classes .. .. .. | 23 |
| Open Competition .. .. .. | 16 |

| | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | 9 | 170 | 37 | 216 |
| 2. Number of applicants qualified .. | 6 | 130 | 26 | 162 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | 1 | 103 | 26 | 130 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | 1@ | 40* | 6 | 47 |

5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited—

| | |
|---|---|
| B.E. (Electrical Electronics) .. | 44 |
| B.E. (Electrical) .. .. | 3 |

13 Candidates belonging to the Backward Classes selected against Open Competition urn on the basis of merit.

@Nine Carried forward vacancies could not be filled up due tc non-availability of Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes candidates.

The remaining Scheduled Castes and Schedled Tribes vacancies have been carried forward to the next recruitment.

## STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS ASSISTANT ENGINEER (CIVIL) IN THE HIGHWAYS AND RURAL WORKS DEPARTMENT.

| | |
|---|---|
| Number of vacancies advertied.– 200* | |
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes | 67 |
| Backward Classes .. .. .. | 184 |
| Open Competition .. .. .. | 119 |
| | 370 |

*The vacancies have been subsequently increased to– 370.

| | Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes | Backward Classes. | Others. | Total. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 Number of applications received .. | 64 | 333 | 102 | 499 |
| 2 Number of applicants admitted to to the Oral test. .. | 49 | 274 | 76 | 399 |
| 3 Number of applicants examined .. | 47 | 220 | 53 | 320 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. | 46* | 215@ | 43 | 304@ |

5 Qualifications of the candidates recruited.–

| | |
|---|---|
| B.E. (Civil) .. .. .. | 284 |
| B.E. (Honours) (Civil) .. | 7 |
| B.Sc. (Engg.) .. .. .. | 3 |
| B.Sc. B.E. (Civil) | 2 |
| M.E. (Civil) .. .. .. | 4 |
| M.E. (Urban) .. .. .. | 1 |
| B.Tech, (Civil) .. .. .. | 2 |
| M.Sc. (Struct) (Civil) .. .. | 1 |
| | 304 |

@Fifty-eight candidates belonging to Backward Classes selected against Open Competition turn on the basis of merit.

* Five candidates belonging to Backward Classes did not obtain the minimum qualifying marks for sleection against Open Competition turn.

* The remaining vacancies as reserved for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes have been carried forward to the next due to non-availability of qualified candidates.

† The remaining vacancies reserved for O.C's could not be filled up due to nona vailability of qualified candidates.

## STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE IN THE TAMIL NADU POLICE SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised.—3

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.. | 1 |
| Backward Classes .. .. .. | 1 |
| Open Competition .. .. .. | 1 |

| (1) | *Scheduled Casres and Scheduled Tribes.* (2) | *Backward Classes.* (3) | *Others.* (4) | *Total.* (5) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Number of applications received .. | 78 | 504 | 44 | 626 |
| 2. Number of applicants qualified for | | | | |
| (*a*) Written Test .. .. .. | 57 | 396 | 38 | 491 |
| (*b*) Oral test .. .. .. | 6 | 12 | .. | 18 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined at the— | | | | |
| (*a*) Written Test .. .. | 41 | 260 | 23 | 324 |
| (*b*) Oral Test .. .. .. | 6 | 12 | .. | 18 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | 1 | 2* | .. | 3 |
| 5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited— | | | | |
| M.A. .. .. .. | 3 | | | |

* One candidate belonging to Backward Clasess selected against Open Competition turn on the basis of merit.

## STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS COMMERCIAL TAX OFFICER IN THE TAMIL NADU COMMERCIAL TAXES SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised.—4

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes | 1 |
| Backward Classes .. .. .. | 1 |
| Open Competition .. .. .. | 2 |

| (1) | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* (2) | *Backrard Classes.* (3) | *Others.* (4) | *Total.* (5) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Number of application received .. | 240 | 1,161 | 110 | 1,511 |
| 2. Number of applicants qualified for | | | | |
| (*a*) Written test .. .. .. | 208 | 1,005 | 97 | 1,310 |
| (*b*) Oral test .. .. .. | 6 | 15 | 1 | 22 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined at the | | | | |
| (*a*) Written test .. .. .. | 107 | 694 | 65 | 866 |
| (*b*) Oral test: .. .. .. | 6 | 15 | 1 | 22 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. | 1 | 3* | .. | 4 |
| 5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited— | | | | |
| M.A., B.L. .. .. .. | 1 | | | |
| M.A., M.Phil. .. .. | 1 | | | |
| M.A. .. .. .. | 1 | | | |
| M.Sc. .. .. .. | 1 | | | |

* Two candidates belonging to Backward Classes selected against Open Competition turns on the basis of merit.

# STATISTCS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS DEPUTY REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES IN THE TAMIL NADU CO-OPERATIVE SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised.—3.

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes | |
| Backward Classes .. .. .. | 2 |
| Open Competition .. .. .. | 1 |

| | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | 105 | 674 | 52 | 831 |
| 2. Number of applicants qualified for .. | | | | |
| (*a*) Written test .. .. .. | 87 | 577 | 45 | 709 |
| (*b*) Oral test .. .. .. | 1 | 18 | .. | 19 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined at the | | | | |
| (*a*) Written test .. .. .. | 52 | 371 | 29 | 452 |
| (*b*) Oral test .. .. .. | 1 | 17 | .. | 18 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | .. | 3* | .. | 3 |
| 5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited— | | | | |
| M.A. (English) .. M.A. (Economics) .. .. | 1 | | | |
| B.Sc., B.L. .. .. .. | 1 | | | |
| B.A. .. .. .. | 1 | | | |

* One candidate belonging to Backward Classes selected against Open Competition tunr on the basis of merit.

## STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS ASSISTANT CONSERVATOR OF FOREST IN THE TAMIL NADU FOREST SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised—4

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes | .. | 1 |
| Backward Classes .. | .. .. | 2 |
| Open Competition | .. .. | 1 |

| | Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. | Backward Classes. | Others. | Total. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of application received. | 45 | 355 | 18 | 418s |
| Number of applicants admitted to the | | | | |
| (a) Written test .. | 21 | 270 | 16 | 307 |
| (b) Oral test.. .. | 5 | 16 | | 21 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined at the .. | | | | |
| (a) Written test .. | 13 | 135 | 8 | 156 |
| (b) Oral Test .. | 5 | 16 | .. | 21 |
| 4 Number recruited .. | 1 | 3* | .. | 4 |

5. Qualification of the candidates recruited.—

| | |
|---|---|
| M. A. (Tamil), B.Sc. (Chemistry) | 1 |
| M. Sc. (Chemistry) .. | 1 |
| M.Sc. (Zoology) .. .. | 1 |
| B.Sc. (Agricultural) | 1 |

* One candidate belonging to a Backward Classes selected against Open competition turn on the basis of merit.

STATISTICS OF COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS ASSISTANT CURATOR, ZOOLOGY SECTION IN THE GOVERNMENT MUSEUM, MADRAS, IN THE TAMIL NADU GENERAL SUBORDINATE SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised : ONE

(The rule of reservation of appointment does not apply to this recruitment

| (1) | Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. (2) | Backward classes. (3) | Others. (4) | Total. (5) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Number of applications received. | 9 | 61 | 27 | 97 |
| 2. Number of applicants admitted to the Oral Test. | .. | 7 | 1 | 8 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined at the Oral Test. | .. | 7 | 1 | 8 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. | .. | 1 | .. | 1 |

5. Qualifications of the candidate recruited—

M.Sc. (Marine Biology)

STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT

As Store-keeper, Grade I, in the Agriculture Department in the Tamil Nadu Ministerial Service.

Number of vacancies advertised : 9

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheculed Tribes | 7 |
| Backward Classes .. | 1 |
| Open Competition .. | 1 |

| (1) | Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. (2) | Backward Classes. (3) | Others. (4) | Total. (5) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Number of applications received. | 101 | 115 | 26 | 242 |
| 2. Number of applicants admitted to the oral test. | 38 | 9 | 3 | 50 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined. | 33 | 7 | 3 | 43 |
| 4. Number recruited .. | 7@ | 2* | .. | 9 |

5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited—

B.Sc. .. 1

S.S.L.C. .. 8

* One candidate belonging to Backward Class selected against Open Compet tion turn on the basis of merit.

@ Seven carried forward Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes turns filled in this selection.

## STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT

As Motor Vehicles Inspector, Grade II in the Tamil Nadu Transport Department

Number of vacancies advertised : 30
subsequently increased to 50

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Inclusive of 3 carried forward vacancies) | 12 |
| Backward Classes .. | 23 |
| Open Competition .. | 15 |
| | 50 |

| (1) | Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. (2) | Backward Classes. (3) | Others. (4) | Total. (5) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Number of applications received. | 19 | 165 | 23 | 207 |
| 2. Number of applicants admitted to the oral test. | 4 | 107 | 12 | 123 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined. | 4 | 102 | 12 | 118 |
| 4. Number recruited .. | 2 | 33+ | 5 | 40 |

5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited—

| | |
|---|---|
| 1. B. E. (Mechanical) .. | 1 Candidate |
| 2. Diploma in Mechanical Engineering .. | 19 |
| 3. Diploma in Mechanical Engineering and post Diploma in Automobile Engineering. .. | 13 |
| 4. Diploma in Mechanical Engineering and Diploma in Refrigeration and Air Conditioning. | 1 |
| 5. Diploma in Mechanical Engineering and Post Diploma in Automobile Engineering and Diploma in Mettallurgy. | 1 |
| 6. S.S.L.C. and Post Diploma in Automobile Engineering (Army). | 1 |
| 7. Matric and Armament Artificer Certificate "B and C" Vehicles, Class I (Army). | 1 |
| 8. I.A.F. Trade Mechanical Transport Fitter .. .. | 3 |
| Total .. | 40 |

+ Includes 10 candidates belonging to B.Cs., selected against 'Open Competition' turn on the basis of merit.

£Includes 20 candidates admitted to the oral test, provisionally, subject to relaxation of rule(s) relating to age and or qualification prescribed for the post, by the Government in favour of the candidates in the event of their selection.

$ Includes 7 candidates selected provisionally subject to relaxation of rule(s) relating to age and/or qualification prescribed for the post, by theGovernment in favour of the candidates.

@ For the 12 vacancies reserved for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribe, only 4 candidates belonging to Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes who were prime facie qualified were admitted to the oral test. Of them two candidates had obtained the qualifying marks at the oral test and they got selected, while the remaining two candidates failed to secure the qualifying marks, However, the Government have been addressed to permit the Commission to select these two Scheduled Caste/Scheduled Tribe candidates. The unfilled vacancies reserved for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes will be carried over to the next recruitment under the rules.

STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS DRILLING ASSISTANT IN THE GEOLOGY BRANCH OF THE DIRECTORATE OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE IN THE TAMIL NADU INDUSTRIES SUBORDINATE SERVICE.

Name of vacancies advertised .. .. .. 1

Open Competition .. .. .. .. 1

| | Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. | Backward Classes. | Others. | Total. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received. | 1 | 15 | 7 | 23 |
| 2. Number of applications qualified. | 1 | 13 | 6 | 20 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined. | 1 | 11 | 4 | 16 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. | 1* | .. | .. | 1 |
| 5. Qualifications of the candidate recruited. | D.M.E. | | | |

* Scheduled Caste Candidate selected against "Open Competition" turn on the basis of merit.

STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS TAMIL PANDIT, GRADE I IN THE GOVERNMENT APPROVED SCHOOL IN THE TAMIL NADU APPROVED SCHOOLS SUBORDINATE SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised : 5.

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes .. | 1 |
| Backward Classes | 2 |
| Open Competition | 2 |
| Total .. | 5 |

| | Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. | Backward Classes. | Others. | Total. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received. | 29 | 448 | 135 | 612 |
| 2. Number of applicants admitted to the oral test. | 7 | 20 | 5 | 32 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined. | 6 | 16 | 5 | 27 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. | 1 | 4* | .. | 5 |

5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited—

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. M.A. (Tamil) and B.Ed. | 2 | |
| 2. B.Litt (Tamil) Pulavar certificate and Pandit's Training Certificate. | 2* | do. |
| 3. S.S.L.C. Pulavar (Tamil) and Diploma in Teaching. | 1 | |
| Total .. | 5 | |

* Includes two candidates belonging to Backward Classes selected against Open Competition turn on the basis of merit.

## STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS B. T. ASSISTANT IN THE GOVERNMENT APPROVED SCHOOLS IN THE TAMIL NADU APPROVED SCHOOLS SUBORDINATE SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised : Two.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribe. | .. | 1 |
| Backward Classes | .. .. | .. |
| Open Competition | .. .. | 1 |
| Total | .. | 2* |

| | Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. | Backward Classes. | Others. | Total. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applicants received for both the subjects. | 29 | 147 | 64 | 240 |
| 2. Numer of applicants admitted to the oral test. | 9 | 7 | 3 | 19 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined. | 7 | 7 | 3 | 17 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. | .. | .. | 1 | 1 |
| 5. Qualifications of the candidate recruited — | B.Sc., (Maths) and B.Ed., | | | |

* Two vacancies, one in the subject of History or Economics and the other in Mathematics were advertised. Subsequently the Commission has been requested to select one candidate in the subject of Mathematics only. Therefore, only one candidate was selected and the selection of a candidate for the subject of History or Economics was dropped.

STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS ENTOMOLOGICAL ASSISTANT IN THE TAMIL NADU PUBLIC HEALTH SUBORDINATE SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised : 4

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. | .. | 1 |
| Backward Classes | .. .. | 1 |
| Open Competition | .. .. | 2 |
| Total | .. | 4 |

| | Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. | Backward Classes. | Others. | Total. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Number of applications received. | 14 | 150 | 39 | 203 |
| 2. Number of applicants admitted to the oral test. | 2 | 15 | 4 | 21 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined. | 2 | 14 | 3 | 19 |
| 4. Number recruited .. | 1 | 3* | .. | 4 |
| 5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited— | | | | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| M.Sc., (Zoology) | .. .. | 1 |
| B.Sc. (Zoology) | .. .. | 3 |

* Two candidates belonging to Backward Classes were selected against Open Competition turn on the basis of merit.

## STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS STATISTICAL ASSISTANT IN THE TAMIL NADU MEDICAL SUBORDINATE SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised : 7

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe. | | |
| Backward Classes | .. | 3 |
| Open Competition | .. | 3 |
| Total | .. | 7 |

| | Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. | Backward Classes. | Others. | Total. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received. | 8 | 458 | 193 | 659 |
| 2. Number of applicants summoned for the oral test. | 3 | 29 | 13 | 45 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined. | 3 | 22 | 12 | 37 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. | 1 | 5* | 1 | 7 |

5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited—

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| M.Sc. (Statistics) | .. | 3 |
| M.Sc. (Maths) | .. | 1 |
| B.Sc. (Statistics) | .. | 1 |
| B.Sc. (Maths) | .. | 2 |
| Total | .. | 7 |

* Two candidates belonging to Backward Classes selected against "Open Competition turns on the basis of merit.

STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS ASSISTANT LIBRARIAN AND LIBRARY ASSISTANT IN THE TAMIL NADU ARCHIEVES LIBRARY IN THE TAMIL NADU GENERAL SUBORDINATE SERVICE 1982.

| (1) | Assistant Librarian. (2) | Library Assistant. (3) |
|---|---|---|
| Number of vacancies advertised : 2 | | |
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribe. .. | 1 | 1 |
| Backward Classes .. | .. | .. |
| Open Competition | 1 | 1 |
| | 2 | 2 |

| (1) | Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. (2) | Backward Classes (3) | Others. (4) | Total. (5) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Number of applications received for the both posts. | 12 | 48 | 13 | 73 |
| 2. Number of applicants admitted to the oral test. | | | | |
| Assistant Librarian .. | 5 | 19 | 5 | 29 |
| Library Assistant .. | 3 | 15 | 5 | 23 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined ; | | | | |
| Assistant Librarian .. | 3 | 17 | 3 | 23 |
| Library Assistant .. | 2 | 14 | 3 | 19 |
| 4. Number recruited | | | | 19 |
| Assistant Librarian .. | 1 | 1* | .. | 2 |
| Library Assistant .. | 1 | 1* | .. | 2 |

5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited ;

*Assistant Librarian* ;

B.A., B. Lib., Sc. 2

*Library Assistant* ;

B.Com., B.Lib. Sc., .. 1

B.A., B. Lib., Sc. 1

* Candidate belonging to 'BC' Selected against 'OC' turn on the basis of merit.

## STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS INSPECTOR OF INDUSTRIES AND FOREMAN (ENGINEERING), GRADE I, IN THE TAMIL NADU INDUSTRIES SUBORDINATE SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised ;

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. | 3 | 4 |
| Backward Classes | 7 | 9 |
| Open Competition .. | 5 | 7 |
| Total .. | 15 | 20 |

| (1) | Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. (2) | Backward Classes. (3) | Others. (4) | Total. (5) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Number of applications received for both the posts. | 35 | 398 | 103 | 536 |
| 2. Number of applicants admitted to the oral test ; | | | | |
| (i) Inspector of Industries | 7 | 72 | 18 | 97 |
| (ii) Foreman (Engineering) | 3 | 37 | 11 | 51 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined : | | | | |
| (i) Inspector of Industries | 2 | 42 | 12 | 56 |
| (ii) Foreman (Engineering) Grade I. | .. | 20 | 7 | 27 |
| 4. Number recruited ;— | | | | |
| (i) Inspector of Industries | 2£ | 11@ | 3 | 16 |
| (ii) Foreman (Engineering) Grade I. | | 2* | | 2 |

5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited —

| Inspector of Industries .. | | |
|---|---|---|
| | B.E. (Mech.) Post Diploma in Auto Engineering. .. | 1 |
| | B.E. (Mechanical) .. | 10 |
| | B.E. (Electrical) .. | 2 |
| | B.Tec. (Auto Engineering) .. | 1 |
| | D.E.E. (A.M.I.E.) .. | 1 |
| | I.A.F. (Blacksmith and Welder) | 1 |
| | Total .. | 16 |

Foreman (Engineering) Grade-I :

| | |
|---|---|
| B.E. (Chemical) .. .. .. | 1 |
| I.A.F. Trade (Proficiency Certificate) .. .. .. | 1 |
| Total .. | 2 |

@3 candidates belonging to "Backward Classes" selected against "Open Competition" turns on the basis of merit.

* One candidate belonging to "Backward Class' selected against "Open Competition" turn on the basis of merit.

£, For the 4 vacancies reserved for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes only 2 candidates were available for selection with regard to remaions 2 unfilled turns 1 Vacancy has been carried forward of the next selection and the other turn has not been carried forward as it is the last turn to be filled by Scheduled Caste/Scheduled Tribe in the roster so far as this selection is concerned.

STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS PHYSICAL DIRECTORS AND PHYSICAL DIRECTRESSES IN THE TAMIL NADU EDUCATIONAL SUBORDINATE SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised:

| | | *Subsequently, revised* as |
|---|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes | 5 | 6 |
| Backward Classes .. .. .. | 14 | 18 |
| Open Competition .. .. .. | 10 | 12 |
| Total .. | 29 | 36 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Physical Directors | 25 | 31 |
| Physical Directress | 4 | 5 |
| Total .. | 29 | 36 |

| | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total:* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | 16 | 496 | 151 | 663 |
| 2. Number of applicants admitted to the oral test. | 10 | 100 | 34 | 144 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | 10 | 97 | 32 | 139 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | 5* | 24* | 6 | 35 |

5. Qualifications of the candidates recurited—

| | |
|---|---|
| M.A. B.P.Ed. | 1 |
| B.A. B.P. Ed. | 24 |
| Sc., B.P. Ed. | 9 |
| B.P.E. | 1 |
| Total | 35 |

*—6 candidates belonging to 'B.C.' selected against O.C. turns o t basis of merit.

*For the 6 vacancies reserved for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes only 5 candidates obtained qualifying marks at the oral test and got selecte

STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS ENGLISH INSTRUCTOR IN THE SERVICE HOMES IN THE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL WELFARE IN THE TAMIL NADU GENERAL SUBORDINATE SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised: 2.

(The rule of reservation of appointment does not apply to this requirement.)

| | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | 2 | 7 | 11 | 20 |
| 2. Number of applicants admitted to the oral test. | 1 | | 11 | 14 |
| 3. Number of applicant examined .. | .. | 2 | 10 | 12 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | .. | .. | 2 | 2 |

5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited—

(1) S.S.L.C. with Typewriting English Higher Grade and Short-hand English Higher Grade.

(2) P.U.C. with Typewriting English and Tamil Higher Grade, Short-hand English Higher Grade, Shorthand Tamil Hig Speed and Technical Teachers Certificate.

# STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATIONS FOR APPOINTMENT AS COST ASSISTANT IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE IN THE TAMIL NADU MINISTERIAL SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised—

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe | 1 | (Carried forward vacancy). |
| Backward Classes .. .. .. | .. | |
| Open Competition .. .. .. | .. | |
| | 1 | |

| | *Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe.* | *Backward class* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | .. | Nil. | .. | .. |
| 2. Number of applicants qualified .. | .. | Nil, | .. | .. |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | .. | Nil. | .. | .. |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | .. | Nil. | .. | .. |
| 5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited — | .. | Nil. | .. | .. |

NOTE.—According to Rule 22(d) of the General Rules for the Tamil Nadu State and Subordinate Services, the one Vacancy was carried forward from the previous recruitment to this recruitment. As no candidate belonging to Scheduled Caste Scheduled Tribe applied to this post in this recruitment also, this vacancy reserved for Scheduled Caste/Scheduled Tribes is treated as lapsed.

## STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS LABORATORY ASSISTANT (ZOOLOGY AND CHEMISTRY) IN THE TAMIL NADU FISHERIES SUBORDINATE SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised : 2.

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes | 1 |
| Backward Classes .. .. .. | .. |
| Open Competition .. .. .. | 1 |
| Total .. | 2 |

| | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Number of applications received .. | 22 | 97 | 20 | 139 |
| 2. Number of applicants admitted to the oral test. | 6 | 6 | 1 | 13 |
| 3. Number of Applicants examined .. | 5 | 6 | 1 | 12 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | 1 | 1 | .. | 2 |
| 5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited— | | | | |
| (1) B.Sc. Chemistry) .. | 1 | | | |
| (2) B.Sc. (Zoology) and M.Sc. (Biology-Animal Science). | 1 | | | |
| Total .. | 2 | | | |

*Candidate belonging to Backward Classes selected against "Open Competition turn on the basis of merit

# STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS FILM LIBRARIAN IN THE SCHOOL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT IN THE TAMIL NADU GENERAL SUBORDINATE SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised : 1.

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes | .. |
| Backward Classes .. .. .. | .. |
| Open Competition .. .. .. | 1 |
| Total .. | 1 |

| | *Scheduled and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | Nil. | 5. | Nil. | 5 |
| 2. Number of applicants qualified .. | Nil. | Nil. | Nil. | Nil. |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | Nil. | Nil. | Nil. | Nil. |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | Nil. | Nil. | Nil. | Nil. |
| 5. Qualifications of the candidates recruited. | Nil. | Nil. | Nil. | Nil. |

## STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOITMENT AS JUNIOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANT IN THE TAMIL NADU HANDLOOMS AND TEXTILES SUBORDINATE SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised :—16.

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes | 3 |
| Backward Classes .. .. .. | 7 |
| Open Competition .. .. .. | 6 |
| Tota' .. | 16 |

| | *Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received | 14 | 83 | 14 | 111 |
| 2. Number of applicants admitted to the oral test. | 10 | 40 | 12 | 6 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | 10 | 30 | 7 | 47 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | 3 | 12* | 1 | 16 |
| 5. Qualification of the candidates recruited. | | | | |
| (1) S.S.L.C. with Diploma in Handloom Technology. | 6 | | | |
| (2) S.S.L.C. with Diploma in Textile Technology. | 8 | | | |
| (3) P.U.C. with Diploma in Handloom Technology. | 2 | | | |
| Toral .. | 16 | | | |

*Includes 5 candidates belonging to Backward Classes selected against "Open Competition" turns on the basis of merit.

STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS STATISTICIAN IN THE TAMIL NADU MEDICAL SUBORDINATE SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised : 4.

S.C./S.T. : No Candidate available for selection. One Vacancy carried forward from the last recruit lapsed.

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes | 2 |
| Backward Classes .. .. .. | 1 |
| Open Competition .. .. .. | 1 |
| Total .. | 4 |

| | *Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.* | *Backward Classes.* | *Others.* | *Total.* |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received .. | 5 | 62 | 23 | 90 |
| 2. Number of applicants qualified .. | .. | 6 | 4 | 10 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined .. | .. | 6 | 4 | 10 |
| 4. Number recruited .. .. .. | .. | 2* | 1 | 3 |
| 5. Qualifications of the Candidates recruited :— | | | | |
| M.Sc. (Statistics) .. .. | 2 | | | |
| B.Sc. B.Ed. (Statistics) .. .. .. | 1 | | | |
| Total .. | 3 | | | |

* Candidate belonging to "Backward Classes' selected against "Other Castes" turn on the basis of merit.

## STATISTICS OF THE COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT AS LANGUAGE PANDIT/MUNSHI, GRADE-I, IN THE SCHOOL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT IN THE TAMIL NADU EDUCATIONAL SUBORDINATE SERVICE.

Number of vacancies advertised initially.

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Caste .. .. .. .. | 88 |
| Backward Classes .. .. .. | 188 |
| Other Community .. .. .. | 121 |
| Total .. | 397 |

(includes 20 carried forward vacancies)

| | |
|---|---|
| Tamil .. .. .. .. | 389 |
| Telugu .. .. .. .. | 5 |
| Malayalam .. .. .. | 2 |
| Urdu .. .. .. .. | 1 |
| Total .. | 397 |

Subsequent by revised as :

| | |
|---|---|
| Scheduled Castes .. .. .. | 104 |
| Backward Classes .. .. .. | 233 |
| Other Community .. .. .. | 150 |
| Total .. | 487 |

(N) (Including 20 carried forward vacancies)

| | |
|---|---|
| Tamil .. .. .. .. | 479 |
| Telugu .. .. .. .. | 5 |
| Malayalam .. .. .. | 2 |
| Urdu .. .. .. .. | 1 |
| Total .. | 487 |

| Subject. | Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. | Backward Classes. | Others. Community | Total. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1. Number of applications received in all subjects :— | | | | |
| Tamil | 116 | 2,465 | 585 | 3,166 |
| Telugu | 2 | 8 | 41 | 51 |
| Malayalam | .. | 2 | 8 | 10 |
| Urdu | .. | 8 | 1 | 9 |
| Total | 118 | 2,483 | 635 | 3,236 |
| 2. Number of applications admitted to the oral test :— | | | | |
| Tamil | 72 | 1,696 | 533 | 2,301 |
| Telugu | 1 | 8 | 32 | 41 |
| Malayalam | .. | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Urdu | .. | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Total | 73 | 1,709 | 569 | 2,351 |
| 3. Number of applicants examined Tamil | 67 | 1,550 | 465 | 2,083 |
| Telugu | 1 | 8 | 26 | 35 |
| Malayalam | .. | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Urdu | .. | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Total | 68 | 1,563 | 493 | 2,124 |
| 4. Number recruited :— | | | | |
| Tamil | 67 | 317 | 61 | 445 |
| Telugu | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| Malayalam(M) | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Urdu | .. | 1 | .. | 1 |
| Total | 68 | 321* | 62 | 451 |

5. Qualifications of the candidate recruited :— Vide list.

Note.—(X) Includes 88 candidates belonging to Backward Classes selected against Open Competition turns on the basis of merit.

(N)—For the 104 vacancies reserved for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes, only 68 candidates were available for selection and they were selected. The remaining 35 vacancies reserved for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes have been carried forward to the next recruitment.

(M) No Candidate for the Language Malayalam could be selected with reference to this position in the ranking list consequent the reduction of vacancies from 487 to 451 for want 36 candidates belonging to Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes.

| *Serial number.* | *Qualification of the candidates recruited.* | *Number of Candidates.* |
|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) |
| 1. | S.S.L.C. Pulavar (Tamil Language Teachers Training Certificate). | 2 |
| 2. | S.S.L.C. Completed Pulavar Tamil Diploma in teaching .. .. | 1 |
| 3. | S.S.L.C. Pulavar (Tamil) Pandit Training Certificate .. .. | 67 |
| 4. | S.S.L.C. Pulavar (Tamil) Basic Teachers Certificate Sr. Grade .. | 5 |
| 5. | S.S.L.C. Pulavar (Tamil) Diploma in teaching .. .. .. | 30 |
| 6. | S.S.L.C. Pulavar (Tamil) Diploma in teaching the blind Pandit Training Certificate. | 1 |
| 7. | S.S.L.C. Pulavar Panditham (Tamil) Pandit Training Certificate | 46 |
| 8. | S.S.L.C. Pulavar Panditham (Tamil) Basic teachers Certificate Sr. Grade. | 1 |
| 9. | S.S.L.C. Vidwan (Tamil) Pandit Training Certificate .. .. | 8 |
| 10. | S.S.L.C. Vidwan Telugu .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 4 |
| 11. | M.G.E.Q. Pulavar (Tamil) Diploma in Teaching .. .. .. | 9 |
| 12. | M.G.E.Q. Pulavar Panditham (Tamil) Pandit Training Certificate .. | 2 |
| 13. | P.U.C. Pulavar (Tamil) Basic Teachers Certificate Sr. Grade .. | 3 |
| 14. | P.U.C. Pulavar (Tamil) Pandits Training Certificate .. .. | 2 |
| 15. | B.A. (Tamil) Basic Teachers Certificate Sr. Grade .. .. .. | 2 |
| 16. | B.A. B.Ed. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 24 |
| 17. | B.Litt. Pandits Training Certificate .. .. .. .. .. | 130 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 18. | B.Litt. Diploma in Teaching .. .. .. .. .. | 43 |
| 19. | B.Litt. B.Ed. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 1 |
| 20. | B.Litt, Basic Teachers certificate Sr. Grade .. .. .. .. | 13 |
| 21. | B.Litt. (Tamil) Secondary Grade Teachers Certificate .. .. | 1 |
| 22. | B.Litt. (Tamil) teachers Certificate Higher Examination Mysore .. | 1 |
| 23. | B.Litt. (Tamil) Pulavar Diploma in teaching .. .. .. .. | 1 |
| 24. | B.O.L. Urdu Secondary Grade Teachers Certificate .. .. .. | 1 |
| 25. | M.A. (Tamil) B.Ed. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 34 |
| 26. | M.A. (Tamil) Basic Teachers Certificate Sr. Grade .. .. .. | 6 |
| 27. | M.A. (Tamil) Diploma in teaching .. .. .. .. .. | 1 |
| 28. | M.A. (Tamil) M.H. Ed. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 1 |
| 29. | M.A. (Tamil) M. Phil. B.Ed. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 1 |
| 30. | M.A (Tamil) Pandit Training Certificate .. .. .. .. | 7 |
| 31. | M.A. (Tamil) B.T. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 1 |
| 32. | M.A. (Telugu) Pandit Training Certificate .. .. .. .. | 1 |
| 33. | M.O.L. (Tamil) B.Ed. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. | 1 |
| | Total .. | 451 |

## APPENDIX D.

(Under Section VII.)

### RECRUITMENT TO STATE SERVICES BY TRANSFER.

1. Assistant Director of Industries and Commerce.
2. Assistant Director of Agriculture.
3. Deputy Director of Medical Services and Family Welfare (F.W. & M.E.).
4. Assistant Superintendent, Chemical Wing.
5. Assistant Director of Training (Apprentices Act) Printing.
6. Professor of Zoology in Arts Colleges.
7. Professor of Urdu in Arts Colleges.
8. Professor of Arabic in Arts Colleges.
9. Professor of Botany in Arts Colleges.
10. Bio-Chemists in the Tamil Nadu Medical Service.
11. Deputy Director (Geology)
12. Deputy Director (Photogeology)
13. NonMedical Tutor in Physiology.
14. Assistant Commissioner (Class XXV)
15. Administrative Officer in the Training Wing of the Department of Employment and Training.
16. Research Officer-*Cum*-Clinician.
17. Assistant Executive Engineer (A.E.) (Mechanical)
18. Assistant Director of Town and Country Planning
19. Non-Medical Tutor in Anatomy
20. Non-Medical Tutor in Bio-Chemistry

21. Junior Electrical Engineer.

22. Class III Posts in the Tamil Nadu State Treasury and Accounts Service.

23. Professor of Malayalam in Arts Colleges.

24. P. A. to the Director of Animal Husbandry/Administrative Officer.

25. Translation Officer in Law Department.

26. Under Secretary to Government in Law Department.

27. Head of Section Editing in the Film and Television Institute of Tami Nadu, Madras.

28. Professor of French in Arts Colleges.

29. Lecturer in Metellurgy in Government Engineering Colleges.

30. Non-Medical Tutor in Physiology.

31. Personal Assistant to Inspector General of Prisons.

32. Assistant Commissioners.

33. Manager (Administration) Class XVI

34. Lecturer in Library Science, Institute of Library Science in the Directorate of Public Libraries.

35. Architect.

36. Editor in the Directorate of Tamil Development.

37. Producer and Chief Producer in the Tamil Nadu Film Division.

38. Professor of Physics in Arts Colleges.

39. Deputy Director (Personnel) in the Town and Country Planning.

40. Assistant Commissioner of Agriculture Income Tax.

41. Research Officer in the office of the Chief Conservator of Forest.

42. Nursing Superintendent, Grade II.

43. Administrative Officer in the Tamil Nadu Port Service.

44. Special Officer on duty (Research Officer, Research Cell).

45. Deputy Director in the Ground Water Wing of P.W.D.

46. Director, Finger Print Bureau.

47. Professor of Geology in Arts Colleges.

48. Professor of Telugu in Arts Colleges.

49. Assistant Geologist in the Ground Water of P.W.D.

50. Senior Lecturer and Section Head in Applied Chemistry Institute of Leather Technology.

51. Personal Assistant to Chief Conservator of Forests.

52. P.A. (Gazetted) to the State Port Officer.

# TABLE I

## APPENDIX—E

(Under Section VII.)

## APPEAL/PETITION/REVIW PETITION/REVISION PETITION.

| Serial number and Name of the Department. | Number of cases involing charges affecting integrity. | | | | | Number of cases involving charges other than integrity. | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Number of cases in which major penality was advised. | Number of cases in which minor penality was advised. | Number of cases in which punishment imposed recomended to be set aside. | Number of cases in which defect in procedure pointed out. | Total. | Number of cases in which major penality was advised. | Number of cases in which minor penality was advised. | Number of cases in which punishment imposed recommended to be set aside. | Number of cases in which defect in procedure pointed out. | Total. | Grand Total (6+11) |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
| 1. Adi-Dravidar and Tribal Welfare. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2. Agriculture .. .. .. | .. | 3 | .. | 1 | 4 | .. | 2 | .. | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| 3. Animal Husbandry .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 1 |
| 4. Backward Classes .. .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | 1 |
| 5. Collegiate Education .. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | .. | 1 | 2 | 3 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6. Commercial Taxes | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 | .. | 3 | .. | .. | 3 | 4 |
| 7. Co-operation | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 6 | .. | .. | 6 | 6 |
| 8. Correctional Administration. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | .. | 3 | .. | .. | 3 | 4 |
| 9. Electrical Inspectorate | .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | .. | 1 | 2 |
| 10. Employment and Training | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 2 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 11. Evaluation and Applied Research. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | .. | | .. | 1 | 1 |
| 12. Ex-Servicemen's Welfare | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| 13. Fire Service | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 14. Forest | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 5 | .. | .. | 5 | 5 |
| 15. Highways and Rural Works | .. | 1 | .. | 1 | 2 | .. | .. | 1 | .. | 1 | 3 |
| 16. Indian Medicine | .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| 17. Industries and Commerce | .. | 2 | .. | .. | 2 | .. | 5 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 9 |
| 18. Information and Public Relation. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 19. Labour | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | .. | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| 20. Legal studies | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 2 | 1 | .. | 3 | 3 |
| 21. Local Fund Audit | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 22. Medical Services and Family Welfare. | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 10 | .. | 19 | .. | 3 | 22 | 32 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 23. Motor Vehicles Maintenance Organisation. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | | | .. | 1 | 4 | |
| 24. Milk Production and Dairy Development. | .. | .. | . | 1 | 1 | .. | . | .. | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 25. Municipal Administration | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 2 | .. | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 26. Police .. .. .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | 4 | .. | 2 | 6 | 7 |
| 27. Prison .. .. .. | 1 | .. | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | .. | 4 | 9 | 12 |
| 28. Public (S.C.) .. .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | . | .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | 1 |
| 29. Public Heath and Preventive Medicine. | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 | .. | 2 | .. | .. | 2 | 3 |
| 30. Public Works Department .. | .. | .. | 2 | .. | 2 | 1 | .. | 2 | 1 | 4 | 6 |
| 31. Raffle .. .. .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 2 | .. | .. | 2 | 2 |
| 32. Registration .. .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | 2 | 3 |
| 33. Revenue .. .. .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | .. | 3 | 11 | 12 |
| 34. Rural Development & Local Administration. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | 1 | .. | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 35. School Education. .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 3 | .. | .. | 3 | 3 |
| 35. Social Welfare .. .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 1 |
| 37. Stationery and Printing .. | 2 | .. | .. | .. | 2 | 1 | 1 | .. | .. | 2 | 4 |
| 38. Survey and Settlement .. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 1 | 2 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 39. State Trading Schemes .. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | . | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| 40. Technical Education .. | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 | . | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | 2 |
| 41. Transport .. .. .. | 1 | 1 | .. | 1 | 3 | .. | 4 | .. | .. | 4 | 7 |
| 42. Treasuries and Accounts .. | | .. | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| **Total** .. | 9 | 18 | 4 | 13 | 44 | 11 | 83 | 7 | 33 | 134 | 173 |

## TABLE—II

## DISCIPLINARY ACTION

| Serial number and Name of the Department. | ***Number*** *of cases involving charges affecting integrity.* | | | | | *Number of cases involving incharge other than intergrity* | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | *Number of cases in which major penalty was advised.* | *Number of cases in which minor penalty was advised.* | *Number of cases in which no penalty was advised.* | *Number of case in which defect in procedure pointed out.* | *Total.* | *Number of cases in which major penality was advised.* | *Number of cases in which minor penality was advised.* | *Number of casein which no penality was advised* | *Number of cases in which defect in procedure pointed out.* | *Total* | *Grand total (6+11).* |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
| 1. Animal Husbandry .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 1 |
| 2. Collegiate Education .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | 2 | .. | .. | ... | .. | .. | 2 |
| 3. Commercial Taxes .. | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| 4 Highways and Rural Works | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | 2 | 1 | .. | 1 .. | .. | 2 | 4 |
| 5. Information and Public Relations | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| 6. Labour .. .. .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | 2 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 7. Medical Education .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 14 | .. | .. | .. | 14 | 14 |
| 8. Medical Services and Family Welfare. | 3 | 1 | .. | .. | 4 | 37 | 1 | .. | 1 | 39 | 43 |
| 9. Motor Vehicles and Maintenance Organisation. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 10. Police .. .. .. | 1 | 1 | .. | .. | 2 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 2 |
| 11 Prisons .. .. .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 2 | .. | .. | 2 | 2 |
| 12. Publice (S.C.) .. .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | 1 |
| 13. Public (Translation) .. | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| 14. Public Health and Preventive Mediine. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 2 |
| 15 Public Works .. .. | 2 | .. | .. | .. | 2 | 2 | 2 | .. | .. | 4 | 6 |
| 16. Registration .. .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | 1 |
| 17. Revenue .. .. .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 18. Rural Development .. | 2 | 1 | 1 | .. | 4 | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 4 |
| 19. School Education .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Total .. | 12 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 20 | 56 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 70 | 90 |

## TABLE III.

### RECOVERY/REDUCTION IN PENSION.

| Serial number and Name of the department. | *Number of cases involving charges affecting integrity.* Number of cases in which recovery) reduction in pension recommended to be imposed. | Number of cases in which no penalty was advised. | Number of cases in whi h defect in procedure pointed out. | Total. | *Number of cases involving charges other than integrity.* Number of casesin which recovery/ reducton in pension recommended to be imposed. | Number of cases in which no penality was advised. | Number of cases in which defect in procedure pointed out. | Total. | Grand total. (5+9) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | |
| 1. Co-operation | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 2. Employment and Training | ... | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | 1 |
| 3 Forest | 1 | .. | .. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 |
| 4. Highways and Rural Works | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 2 | .. | .. | 2 | 2 |
| 5. Medical Services and Family Welfare | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | 1 |
| 6 Police | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 2 | .. | .. | 2 | 2 |
| 7. Revenue | .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | 2 | .. | .. | 2 | 3 |
| 8. School Education | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | 1 | .. | .. | 1 | 1 |
| Total | .. | 2 | .. | .. | 2 | 9 | .. | 2 | 11 | 13 |